



Document Number: DSP2032

Date: 2012-08-28

Version: 1.0.0a

1
2
3
4
5

6 **CIM-RS White Paper**

Information for Work-in-Progress version:

This specification is not a standard. It does not necessarily reflect the views of the DMTF or all of its members. Because this document is a Work in Progress, this specification may still change, perhaps profoundly. This document is available for public review and comment until the stated expiration date.

This document expires on: 2013-02-28

Provide any comments through the DMTF Feedback Portal: <http://www.dmtf.org/standards/feedback>

7 **Document Type: White Paper**

8 **Document Status: Work in Progress**

9 **Document Language: en-US**

10

11 Copyright Notice

12 Copyright © 2010–2012 Distributed Management Task Force, Inc. (DMTF). All rights reserved.

13 DMTF is a not-for-profit association of industry members dedicated to promoting enterprise and systems
14 management and interoperability. Members and non-members may reproduce DMTF specifications and
15 documents, provided that correct attribution is given. As DMTF specifications may be revised from time to
16 time, the particular version and release date should always be noted.

17 Implementation of certain elements of this standard or proposed standard may be subject to third party
18 patent rights, including provisional patent rights (herein "patent rights"). DMTF makes no representations
19 to users of the standard as to the existence of such rights, and is not responsible to recognize, disclose,
20 or identify any or all such third party patent right, owners or claimants, nor for any incomplete or
21 inaccurate identification or disclosure of such rights, owners or claimants. DMTF shall have no liability to
22 any party, in any manner or circumstance, under any legal theory whatsoever, for failure to recognize,
23 disclose, or identify any such third party patent rights, or for such party's reliance on the standard or
24 incorporation thereof in its product, protocols or testing procedures. DMTF shall have no liability to any
25 party implementing such standard, whether such implementation is foreseeable or not, nor to any patent
26 owner or claimant, and shall have no liability or responsibility for costs or losses incurred if a standard is
27 withdrawn or modified after publication, and shall be indemnified and held harmless by any party
28 implementing the standard from any and all claims of infringement by a patent owner for such
29 implementations.

30 For information about patents held by third-parties which have notified the DMTF that, in their opinion,
31 such patent may relate to or impact implementations of DMTF standards, visit
32 <http://www.dmtf.org/about/policies/disclosures.php>.

33

CONTENTS

34	Abstract	4
35	Foreword	5
36	Acknowledgments	5
37	Document Conventions	5
38	Executive Summary	6
39	1 Terminology	7
40	2 Why build a RESTful interface for CIM	9
41	3 Characteristics of a RESTful protocol and CIM-RS	10
42	4 Resources in CIM-RS	11
43	5 Resource identifiers in CIM-RS	13
44	6 Operations in CIM-RS	14
45	7 Data representation in CIM-RS	14
46	8 When would a site consider implementing CIM-RS	15
47	9 Conclusion	16
48	ANNEX A Change Log	18
49	Bibliography	19
50	Documents published by standards development organizations	19
51	Other documents	19
52		

53 Tables

54	Table 1 – CIM-RS resource types and what they represent	13
55	Table 2 – CIM-RS protocol payload elements	15
56		

57

Abstract

58 This white paper provides background information for CIM-RS as defined in the DMTF specifications *CIM-*
59 *RS Protocol* ([DSP0210](#)) and *CIM-RS Payload Representation in JSON* ([DSP0211](#)). This white paper will
60 provide some explanation behind the decisions made in these specifications and give the reader insight
61 into when the use of CIM-RS may be appropriate. There is also discussion of some of the considerations
62 in choosing payload encodings such as JSON or XML.

63 This paper is targeted to potential users of CIM-RS who are considering developing a server-side
64 interface to a CIM implementation that follows REST principles, or a client that consumes such an
65 interface.

66

Foreword

67 The *CIM-RS White Paper* (DSP2032) was prepared by the DMTF CIM-RS Working Group, based on
68 work of the DMTF CIM-RS Incubator.

69 DMTF is a not-for-profit association of industry members dedicated to promoting enterprise and systems
70 management and interoperability. For information about the DMTF, see <http://www.dmtf.org>.

71 Acknowledgments

72 The DMTF acknowledges the following individuals for their contributions to this document:

- 73 • Cornelia Davis, EMC
- 74 • George Ericson, EMC
- 75 • Johannes Holzer, IBM
- 76 • Robert Kieninger, IBM
- 77 • Wojtek Kozaczynski, Microsoft
- 78 • Lawrence Lamers, VMware
- 79 • Andreas Maier, IBM (editor)
- 80 • Bob Tillman, EMC
- 81 • Marvin Waschke, CA Technologies (editor)

82 Document Conventions

83 Typographical Conventions

84 The following typographical conventions are used in this document:

- 85 • Document titles are marked in *italics*.

86 Deprecated and Experimental Material

87 A white paper has informative character. Therefore, material is not marked as experimental or deprecated
88 as it would be in normative DMTF specifications.

89

Executive Summary

90 The DMTF Common Information Model (CIM) is a conceptual information model for describing computing
91 and business entities in Internet, enterprise, and service-provider environments. CIM uses object-oriented
92 techniques to provide a consistent definition of such entities: A CIM model describes the state, relations,
93 and behaviors of such managed objects. The CIM Schema published by DMTF is one such CIM model,
94 establishing a common description of certain managed objects.

95 CIM and the CIM Schema provide a foundation for IT management software that can be written in one
96 environment and easily converted to operate in a different environment. It also facilitates communication
97 between software managing different aspects of the IT infrastructure. In this way, CIM and CIM Schema
98 provide a basis for an integrated IT management environment that is more manageable and less complex
99 than environments based on narrower and less consistent information.

100 CIM is built on object oriented principles and provides a consistent and cohesive programming model for
101 IT management software. One of the developing trends in enterprise network software architecture in
102 recent years has been Representational State Transfer (REST). REST represents a set of architectural
103 constraints that have risen from the experience of the World Wide Web. Developers have discovered that
104 the architecture of the web offers some of the same benefits in simplicity and reliability to enterprise
105 software as it has provided over the Internet. IT management is an important application of enterprise
106 software and there is growing interest in using CIM and CIM Schema based software in an architecture
107 that follows REST constraints.

108 Fortunately, CIM follows basic architectural principles that largely fit well into RESTful architectures. As a
109 result, the RESTful protocol defined by CIM-RS is tailored to the needs of CIM.

110 1 Terminology

111 In this document, some terms have a specific meaning beyond the normal English meaning. Those terms
112 are defined in this clause.

113 Some of the terms and abbreviations defined in [DSP0004](#) and [DSP0223](#) are used in this document but
114 are not repeated in this clause.

115 1.1

116 application state

117 the state that indicates where an application is in completing a task. In a RESTful system, the client is
118 solely responsible for application or session state. The server is only responsible for resource state, the
119 state of the resources managed by the service. An example of resource state is the account balance in a
120 banking service, which would be maintained by the server. An example of application state is a specific
121 client that has posted a deposit and is waiting for it to clear. Only the client would track the fact that it has
122 posted a deposit request.

123 1.2

124 CIM-RS

125 CIM RESTful Services

126 the RESTful protocol for CIM covered by this white paper and related documents.

127 1.3

128 HATEOAS

129 Hypertext As The Engine Of Application State

130 the practice of using links embedded in resource representations to advertise further possible activities or
131 related resources to the application. For example, an “order” link might be placed in the resource
132 representation for an item offered in a catalog. The presence of the order link indicates that the item is
133 orderable and represents a path to order the item. In a visual representation, the “order” link would
134 appear as a button on the screen. Pushing the button, a POST or PUT HTTP method targeting the
135 resource identifier provided in the link would be issued and would cause the item to be ordered. The
136 returned resource represents the next application state, perhaps a form for entering quantity and shipping
137 method. CIM-RS supports this concept by returning resource identifiers to related resources, for details
138 see [DSP0210](#).

139 1.4

140 HTTP content negotiation

141 negotiation between HTTP clients and HTTP servers to determine the format of the content transferred.
142 When a client makes a request, they list acceptable response formats by specifying media types in an
143 `Accept` header. Thus, the server is able to supply different representations of the same resource
144 identified with the same resource identifier. A common example is GIF and PNG images. A browser that
145 cannot display PNGs can be served GIFs based on the `Accept` header. In a RESTful system, the choice
146 is more often between XML and JSON. For details, see [RFC2616](#). Its use in CIM-RS is described in
147 [DSP0210](#).

148 1.5

149 IANA

150 Internet Assigned Numbers Authority, <http://www.iana.org/>.

151 1.6

152 JSON

153 JavaScript Object Notation, defined in chapter 15 of [ECMA-262](#).

154 **1.7**155 **idempotent HTTP method**

156 an HTTP method with the behavior that (aside from error or expiration issues) the side-effects of N
157 consecutive identical requests are the same as for a single one of those requests. [RFC2616](#) requires the
158 HTTP methods GET, HEAD, PUT and DELETE to be idempotent. HTTP methods that have no side
159 effects (that is, safe methods) are inherently idempotent. For details, see [RFC2616](#).

160 **1.8**161 **Internet media type**

162 a string identification for representation formats in Internet protocols. Originally defined for email
163 attachments and termed "MIME type". Because CIM-RS is based on HTTP, it uses the definition of media
164 types from section 3.7 of [RFC2616](#).

165 **1.9**166 **resource**

167 in CIM-RS, an entity that can be referenced using a resource identifier and thus can be the target of an
168 HTTP method. Example resources are systems, devices, or configurations.

169 **1.10**170 **resource identifier**

171 in CIM-RS, a URI that is a reference to (or an address of) a resource. Generally, a resource may have
172 more than one resource identifier; however in CIM-RS that is not the case.

173 **1.11**174 **resource representation**

175 a representation of a resource or some aspect thereof, in some format. A particular resource may have
176 any number of representations. The format of a resource representation is identified by a media type. In
177 CIM-RS, the more general term "payload representation" is used, because not all protocol payload
178 elements are resource representations.

179 **1.12**180 **resource state**

181 the state of a resource managed by a RESTful service, in contrast to application state.

182 **1.13**183 **REST**184 **Representational State Transfer**

185 a style of software architecture for distributed systems that is based on addressable resources, a uniform
186 constrained interface, representation orientation, stateless communication, and state transitions driven
187 by data formats. Usually REST architectures use the HTTP protocol, although other protocols are
188 possible. See [Architectural Styles and the Design of Network-based Software Architectures](#) for the
189 original description of the REST architectural style.

190 **1.14**191 **RPC**192 **Remote Procedure Call**

193 an RPC is an implementation of a function in which a call to the function occurs in one process and the
194 function is executed in a different process, often in a remote location linked by a network. RPC-based
195 systems are often contrasted with RESTful systems. In a RESTful system, the interactions between client
196 and server follow the REST constraints and the design focus is on the resources. In an RPC-based
197 system, the design focus is on the functions invoked, and there is not necessarily even the notion of well-
198 defined resources.

199 **1.15**

200 **safe HTTP method**

201 an HTTP method that has no side-effects. [RFC2616](#) requires the HTTP methods GET and HEAD to be
202 safe. By definition, an HTTP method that is safe is also idempotent.

203 **1.16**

204 **URI**

205 Uniform Resource Identifier, as defined in [RFC3986](#). CIM-RS uses URIs for its resource identifiers.

206 **2 Why build a RESTful interface for CIM**

207 There has great deal of interest in constructing RESTful enterprise applications in the last few years and
208 this interest has inspired the specification of CIM-RS. To understand the origins of this interest, the nature
209 of REST and its relationship to IT management must be explored.

210 Enterprise applications are being built more and more frequently on architectures that involve remote
211 network connections to some part of the implementation of the application. These connections are often
212 via the Internet. This is especially true with the rise of cloud computing.

213 REST is a set of architectural constraints that were designed around the features of the Internet. For
214 example, REST constraints are designed to assure that applications that follow constraints will have
215 maximum benefit from typical Internet features like caches, proxies, and load balancers.

216 In addition, REST constraints are closely tied to the design of HTTP, the primary application level protocol
217 of the Internet. In fact, the prime formulator of REST, Roy Fielding, was also an author of the HTTP
218 standard. Consequently, REST was designed to take full advantage of HTTP and HTTP meets the needs
219 of REST.

220 Some of the specific benefits that have been experienced in RESTful applications are:

- 221 • **Simplicity.** REST limits itself to the methods implemented in HTTP and runs directly on the
222 HTTP stack. Note, however, that this simplicity can be deceptive. The design effort to comply
223 with REST may engender its own complexity.
- 224 • **Resilience in the face of network disturbance.** One of the hallmarks of a RESTful application
225 is a stateless relationship between the server and the client. Each request from the client
226 contains all the history the server needs to respond to the client. Therefore recovery when a
227 server becomes inaccessible does not require unwinding a stack and complex recovery logic
228 when requests are self-contained and independent.
- 229 • **Upgradability.** The operations available in RESTful application are discovered by the client as
230 the processes occur. Consequently, in some cases, the server implementation often may be
231 upgraded transparently to the client. In some cases, a well-designed client may be able to take
232 advantage of new features automatically.

233 Although these are important benefits, it is important to note that REST is not a panacea. Not all activities
234 are easily compatible with its constraints. Not every operation fits easily into the stateless paradigm. The
235 discoverability of RESTful applications may breakdown as applications become more complex and
236 transactions become more elaborate.

237 Nevertheless, as a result of these benefits and others, a substantial number of developers of IT
238 management applications that use CIM and CIM Schema have turned to REST. Therefore, there is a
239 need for a specification for a uniform protocol that will promote interoperability between RESTful CIM and
240 CIM Schema based applications.

241 3 Characteristics of a RESTful protocol and CIM-RS

242 The characteristics of a RESTful protocol are not standardized or otherwise defined normatively. The
243 principles and constraints of the REST architectural style have originally been described by Roy Fielding
244 in chapter 5 of [Architectural Styles and the Design of Network-based Software Architectures](#). The BLOG
245 entry [REST APIs must be hypertext driven](#) authored by Roy Fielding provides further insight into REST
246 principles. While that description of the REST architectural style is not limited to the use of HTTP, the
247 HTTP protocol comes close to supporting that style and obviously has a very broad use.

248 The CIM-RS protocol is based on HTTP and supports the REST architectural style to a large degree. The
249 following list describes to what extent the typical REST constraints are satisfied by the CIM-RS protocol:

250 • **Client-Server:** The participants in the CIM-RS protocol are WBEM client, WBEM server, and
251 WBEM listener. There is a client-server relationship between WBEM client and WBEM server,
252 and one between WBEM server and WBEM listener, where the WBEM server acts as a client to
253 the WBEM listener. Thus, the WBEM server has two roles: To act as a server in the interactions
254 with the WBEM client, and to act as a client in the interactions with the WBEM listener.
255 This REST constraint is fully satisfied in CIM-RS.

256 • **Stateless:** Interactions in CIM-RS are self-describing and stateless in that the servers (that is,
257 the WBEM server in its server role, and the WBEM listener) do not maintain any application
258 state or session state.
259 This REST constraint is fully satisfied in CIM-RS.

260 • **Cache:** The HTTP methods used in CIM-RS are used as defined in RFC2616. As a result, they
261 are cacheable as defined in RFC2616.
262 This REST constraint is fully satisfied in CIM-RS.

263 NOTE: [RFC2616](#) defines only the result of HTTP GET methods to be cacheable.

264 • **Uniform interface:** The main resources represented in CIM-RS are instances or collections
265 thereof, representing modeled objects in the managed environment. CIM-RS defines a uniform
266 interface for creating, deleting, retrieving, replacing, and modifying these resources and thus the
267 represented objects, based on HTTP methods.
268 This REST constraint is satisfied in CIM-RS, with the following deviation:

269 CIM methods can be invoked in CIM-RS through the use of HTTP POST. This may be
270 seen as a deviation from the REST architectural style, which suggests that any "method"
271 be represented as a modification of a resource. However, DMTF experience with a REST
272 like modeling style has shown that avoiding the use of methods is not always possible or
273 convenient. For this reason CIM-RS supports invocation of methods..

274 • **Layered system:** Layering is inherent to information models that represent the objects of a
275 managed environment, because clients only see the modeled representations and are not
276 exposed to the actual objects. CIM-RS defines the protocol and payload representations such
277 that it works with any model, and thus is well suited for implementations that implement a model
278 of the managed environment independently of protocols, and one or more protocols
279 independently of the model. CIM-RS supports the use of HTTP intermediaries (for example,
280 caches and proxy servers).
281 This REST constraint is fully satisfied in CIM-RS.

282 • **Code-On-Demand:** CIM-RS does not directly support exchanging program code between the
283 protocol participants.
284 This optional REST constraint is not satisfied.

285 Beyond that, CIM-RS has the following other characteristics:

286 • **Model independence:** CIM-RS does not define or prescribe the use of a particular CIM model.
287 However, it does require the use of a CIM model defined using the CIM

- 288 infrastructure/architecture. This allows reusing the traditional DMTF technology stack and its
289 implementations, with only minimal impact to existing implementations. For details on CIM-RS
290 resources, see clause 4.
- 291 • **Opaqueness of resource identifiers:** CIM-RS uses URIs as resource identifiers and defines
292 all but a top-level URI to be opaque to clients. That allows reuse of the URIs supported by
293 existing WBEM protocols without any remapping, as well as the use of new URI formats in the
294 future. It encourages a client style of programming that is more RESTful than when clients
295 parse resource URIs. For details on CIM-RS resource identifiers, see clause 5.
 - 296 • **Consistency of operations:** Beyond following the REST constraints, the CIM-RS operations
297 are consistent with the generic operations defined in [DSP0223](#). This allows implementing CIM-
298 RS as an additional protocol in existing WBEM infrastructures, causing impact only where it is
299 necessary (that is, at the protocol level), leveraging existing investments. For details on CIM-RS
300 operations, see clause 6.
 - 301 • **Supports use of new RESTful frameworks:** Because CIM-RS is a RESTful protocol, it
302 supports the use of new RESTful frameworks both on the client side and on the server side,
303 without tying client application development to the use of traditional WBEM clients or CIM client
304 APIs, and without tying server instrumentation development to the use of traditional WBEM
305 servers, such as CIMOMs and providers.

306 4 Resources in CIM-RS

307 The REST architectural style allows for the representation of rather static entities such as disk drives, or
308 entities with highly varying state such as a metric measuring the amount of available disk space at a
309 specific point in time, or even entities that dynamically come into existence or cease to exist such as file
310 system mounts.

311 In CIM-RS, there are three basic kinds of resources:

- 312 • **Instance resources** represent modeled objects in the managed environment.
- 313 • **Collection resources** represent ordered collections of instance resources or of references to
314 instance resources.
- 315 • **Invocation resources** provide the ability to invoke operations that are outside the scope of the
316 CRUD (Create, Read, Update, Delete) operations.

317 The way managed objects are defined to be represented as instance resources in CIM-RS, is by using a
318 two-staged mapping approach:

- 319 • CIM models describe how managed objects in the managed environment are modeled as
320 classes. This part deals with the model and is independent of any protocols
- 321 • CIM-RS describes how instances of classes are represented as instance resources. This part
322 deals with the protocol and is independent of any models

323 CIM classes, qualifier types and namespaces are not represented as resources in CIM-RS. If client
324 applications need to dynamically discover the class definition of modeled objects, they cannot do that
325 directly with CIM-RS. A future schema inspection model may provide for doing that, based on instance-
326 level interactions.

327 This model independence allows CIM-RS to be implemented in an existing WBEM server as an additional
328 protocol, or as a gateway in front of an existing unchanged WBEM server, leveraging the investment in
329 that implementation. Specifically, in WBEM servers supporting a separation of CIMOM and providers,
330 adding support for CIM-RS typically drives change only to the CIMOM but does not drive any change to
331 the providers. On the client side, existing WBEM client infrastructures that provide client applications with

332 a reasonably abstracted API can implement CIM-RS as an additional protocol, shielding existing client
333 applications from the new protocol.

334 In order to work well with WBEM, it was necessary that CIM-RS supports the same operation semantics
335 as the operations supported at client APIs, provider APIs and existing WBEM protocols. The generic
336 operations defined in [DSP0223](#) are a common definition of operation semantics for such purposes. The
337 operations of CIM-RS are described independently of [DSP0223](#), but [DSP0210](#) defines a mapping
338 between generic operations and CIM-RS operations. For more details about the operations supported by
339 CIM-RS, see clause 6.

340 Because CIM-RS is a RESTful protocol, it supports the use of new RESTful frameworks both on the client
341 side and on the server side, without tying client application development to the use of traditional WBEM
342 clients or CIM client APIs, and without tying server instrumentation development to the use of traditional
343 WBEM servers, such as CIMOMs and providers.

344 This allows CIM-RS to be implemented using typical REST frameworks, without using CIMOM or WBEM
345 infrastructure. In this case, the two-staged mapping approach still works but requires to read more
346 documents in order to understand what to implement, compared to an approach that describes both
347 model and protocol in one document.

348 Of course, combinations of using new RESTful frameworks and traditional WBEM infrastructure are also
349 possible: A typical scenario would be the use of a new RESTful framework in a client application, with a
350 traditional WBEM server whose CIMOM portion got extended with CIM-RS protocol support.

351 It is key to understand that the model independence of CIM-RS and the resulting benefits are its main
352 motivation and are a key differentiator to other approaches in DMTF of using REST. The model
353 independence is what positions CIM-RS to be a first class member of the traditional DMTF technology
354 stack, leveraging a large amount of standards defined by DMTF and others (most notably, the CIM
355 architecture/infrastructure, the CIM Schema, and management profiles defined by DMTF and others).

356 On the downside, the model independence of CIM-RS causes a certain indirection in dealing with the
357 managed objects: CIM-RS resources representing CIM instances of CIM classes can be understood only
358 after understanding the CIM model they implement. The CIM model is defined by a CIM schema and
359 typically in addition by a number of management profiles that scope and refine the use of the CIM
360 schema to a particular management domain. So the number of documents to read before a client
361 application can reasonably be developed against a CIM instrumentation supporting CIM-RS may be quite
362 significant. On the other hand, this is no more complex than developing a client application against a CIM
363 instrumentation supporting other existing WBEM protocols.

364 Following the REST architectural style, any entity targeted by an operation in the CIM-RS protocol is
365 considered a resource, and the operations are simple operations such as the HTTP methods GET,
366 POST, PUT, and DELETE.

367 The simplicity of these operations requires to "encode" details such as the difference between retrieving a
368 single resource vs. a collection of resources, or retrieving a resource vs. navigating to a related resource,
369 into the resource definitions. This leads to a number of variations of resources.

370 Note that the real-world entities are not called "resources" in this document. Rather, the standard DMTF
371 terminology is used, where such real-world entities are called "managed objects", and the real-world itself
372 is called the "managed environment". This terminology allows distinguishing resources as represented in
373 the RESTful protocol from the managed objects they sometimes correspond to, in part or in whole.

374 CIM-RS defines the following resources, as listed in Table 1.

375

Table 1 – CIM-RS resource types and what they represent

Resource Type	Represents
Instance resource	A resource within a server that represents a modeled object in the managed environment
Instance creation resource	A resource within a server that represents the ability to create instance resources (and thus, managed objects)
Instance collection resource	A resource within a server or listener that represents a collection of instance resources
Reference collection resource	A resource within a server or listener that represents a collection of references (to instance resources)
Instance enumeration resource	A resource within a server that represents the ability to enumerate instance resources by class and namespace
Method invocation resource	A resource within a server that represents the ability to invoke methods defined in a class
Listener destination resource	A resource within a listener that can be used to deliver indications
Server entry point resource	The entry point resource of a server; representing capabilities of the server, and providing the starting point for discovering further resources
Listener entry point resource	The entry point resource of a listener, representing capabilities of the listener

376 Each of these resources can be addressed using a resource identifier; for details on that see clause 5.

377 Each of these resources has a defined set of operations; for details on that see clause 6.

378 Each of these resources has a defined resource representation in each of the supported representation
379 formats; for details on that see clause 7.

380 CIM-RS supports retrieval of parts of resources. These parts are selected through query parameters in
381 the resource identifier URI addressing the resource. That renders these parts to be separate resources,
382 following the principles in the REST architectural style.

383 For more details on CIM-RS resources, see [DSP0210](#).

384 **5 Resource identifiers in CIM-RS**

385 The REST architectural style recommends that all addressing information for a resource is in the resource
386 identifier (and not, for example, in the HTTP header). In addition, it recommends that resource identifiers
387 are opaque to clients and clients should not be required to understand the structure (or format) of
388 resource identifiers or be required to assemble any resource identifiers.

389 CIM-RS generally follows these recommendations. In CIM-RS, resource identifiers are fully represented
390 in URIs, without any need for additional information in HTTP headers or HTTP payload. However, these
391 recommendations do not detail whether client-driven assembly and modification of the query parameter
392 portion of a URI is also discouraged. In CIM-RS, the query parameter portion of a URI is normatively
393 defined and may be assembled or manipulated by clients.

394 The only URI a client needs to know upfront in CIM-RS is the resource identifier of the server entry point
395 resource of a WBEM server. That is the only URI for which CIM-RS normatively defines a format.

396 From that starting point on, any other URIs are server-defined and opaque to clients (except for query
397 parameters). They are discovered by clients by means of links returned along with resource
398 representations. CIM-RS does not define the format of these URIs (except for the entry point resources of
399 server and listener).

400 The main benefit of client-opaque URIs is that servers can use existing URI formats, even in a mix of
401 different kinds of URI formats, directly as the CIM-RS URIs. This typically saves both performance and
402 space, and it allows to be open for future URI formats.

403 For more details on resource identifiers in CIM-RS, see [DSP0210](#).

404 6 Operations in CIM-RS

405 The REST architectural style recommends that the operations on resources are simple and follow certain
406 constraints. Although the use of HTTP is not a requirement for REST, the HTTP methods satisfy these
407 constraints and are therefore a good choice for a RESTful system.

408 CIM-RS uses the HTTP methods GET, POST, PUT, and DELETE. An operation in CIM-RS is defined as
409 the combination of HTTP method and target resource type (as described in Table 1).

410 GET is used to retrieve the targeted instance resource or collection resources.

411 PUT is used for replacing the targeted instance resource partially or fully. Partial update is performed by
412 issuing the PUT method against a resource identifier that uses query parameters to narrow the original
413 resource to exactly the properties that are intended to be updated. Because the narrowed resource is fully
414 replaced, this approach does not violate the idempotency constraint of the HTTP PUT method.

415 The alternative to use the HTTP PATCH method for partial update (see RFC5789) was originally chosen
416 in the work of the CIM-RS Incubator but ultimately dismissed in the CIM-RS specifications, because
417 support for the HTTP PATCH method is still limited in the industry at this point.

418 DELETE is used for removing the targeted instance resource.

419 POST is a non-idempotent operation in HTTP that can have many uses. The Request-URI in the header
420 of a POST identifies the resource which will handle the entity enclosed in the message of the request, not
421 necessarily the entity affected by the POST (see [RFC2616](#), page 54). Following this pattern, POST is
422 used in CIM-RS as follows:

- 423 • for invoking CIM methods, by targeting a method invocation resource.
424 Non-static methods can be invoked by targeting the method invocation resource for a particular
425 method; their resource identifiers are available on instance resources.
426 Static methods can be invoked by targeting the global method invocation resource for a
427 particular static method; their resource identifiers are available on the server entry point
428 resource.
- 429 • for creating instance resources, by targeting a global instance creation resource.
430 Its resource identifier is available on the server entry point resource.
- 431 • for enumerating instance resources by class, by targeting a global instance enumeration
432 resource.
433 Its resource identifier is available on the server entry point resource.

434 In addition, a server can deliver indications (event notifications) to a listener using POST. For details on
435 indication delivery, see [DSP0210](#).

436 For more details on operations in CIM-RS, see [DSP0210](#).

437 7 Data representation in CIM-RS

438 The REST architectural style promotes late binding between the abstracted resource that is addressed
439 through a resource identifier and the resource representation that is chosen in the interaction between
440 client and server.

441 CIM-RS follows this by supporting multiple HTTP payload formats that are chosen through HTTP content
 442 negotiation.

443 The set of payload formats supported by CIM-RS is open for future extension, and currently consists of
 444 the following:

- 445 • JSON, as defined in [DSP0211](#).

446 A payload format based on XML is envisioned for the future.

447 JSON and XML have been chosen because each of them is considered a premier choice for a
 448 representation format of RESTful systems, dependent on the REST framework used, and the technical
 449 and business environment.

450 It is important to understand that the entities to be represented in the HTTP payload are not only the
 451 resource representations. For example, operations such as method invocation require the representation
 452 of input and output data entities that are not resources (in the sense that they cannot be the target of
 453 CIM-RS operations).

454 Table 2 lists the protocol payload elements defined in CIM-RS. These are the entities that need to be
 455 represented in any payload format of CIM-RS.

456 **Table 2 – CIM-RS protocol payload elements**

Protocol payload element	Meaning
Instance	representation of an instance; that is, a modeled object in the managed environment
ReferenceCollection	representation of a set of references (to instances) in a reference collection
InstanceCollection	representation of a set of instances in an instance collection
MethodRequest	the data used to request the invocation of a method
MethodResponse	the data used in the response of the invocation of a method
IndicationDeliveryRequest	the data used to request the delivery of an indication to a listener
ServerEntryPoint	representation of the server entry point resource of a server, describing protocol-level capabilities of the server, and providing resource identifiers for performing certain operations
ListenerEntryPoint	representation of the listener entry point resource of a listener, describing protocol-level capabilities of the listener
ErrorResponse	the data used in an error response to any request

457

458 **8 When would a site consider implementing CIM-RS**

459 CIM-RS is implemented in two places: a centralized server and many clients (including event listeners).
 460 The server provides access to CIM-RS resources and the client accesses those resources. One of the
 461 goals of REST is enabling clients, such as generic HTTP browsers, to discover and access RESTful
 462 services without specialized documentation or programming. CIM-RS enables this kind of access, but
 463 realistically, such usage would be too granular and awkward for most tasks. More likely, CIM-RS will be
 464 used in the background as a web service that performs operations and collects data on IT infrastructure.
 465 The code that combines individual REST requests into task-oriented applications can be implemented
 466 either on the server side or on the client side.

467 On the server side, SOAP implementations respond to SOAP calls that are usually transported by HTTP
468 as a layer under the SOAP stack. The RESTful stack is less elaborate because the layer corresponding
469 to the SOAP is eliminated and calls are received directly from the HTTP server. Correspondingly, on the
470 client, in SOAP implementation, calls are made via the SOAP stack and transported by HTTP. In REST,
471 calls are made using native HTTP verbs. REST simplicity comes with a price. The SOAP stack, and the
472 additional specifications that have been written over SOAP add rich functionality that may require extra
473 effort to implement the equivalent in REST.

474 With the addition of CIM-RS, applications based on objects defined using CIM models can be surfaced
475 via the CIM-RS RESTful protocol. The choice of protocol affects both the server implementation and the
476 client implementation. In theory, the applications that result should be the same, but in practice there may
477 be differences, based on factors such as the statelessness of RESTful and the ease of implementing
478 some interaction patterns.

479 Many implementations are expected to involve using CIM-RS with existing implementations. The ease of
480 these implementations will be largely dependent on the layering of the architecture of the CIM
481 implementation. Ideally, the implementation of the CIM objects should be crisply separated from the
482 transport mechanism. In that case, the CIM-RS implementation, using appropriate frameworks for
483 interfacing underlying code with HTTP such as JAX-RS, should be straight forward and relatively quick to
484 implement.

485 Every implementation decision is based on many factors, including:

- 486 • The experiences of the personnel involved. A group accustomed to RESTful applications will
487 be better prepared to work with CIM-RS than a SOAP-based implementation. A group not
488 familiar with REST may experience difficulty.
- 489 • The environment. For example, implementation behind a corporate firewall will not get as many
490 advantages from a REST implementation as an implementation that spans widely separated
491 architectures involving many firewalls.
- 492 • The purpose of the implementation. Some implementations will involve management of massive
493 storms of events. Others will involve long lists of managed objects. Yet others will involve only
494 light traffic, but complex control operations. Every implementation has its own footprint. REST
495 architectures are designed to optimize the capacity, scalability, and upgradability of the server.
496 The archetypical REST implementation is a server that serves an enormous number of clients,
497 for example, a web storefront serving hundreds of thousands of clients simultaneously, but the
498 data exchange with each client is intermittent, granular, and relatively small. This is far different
499 from an enterprise IT management application that manages and correlates data from hundreds
500 of thousands of objects, but only has a handful of clients. RESTful interfaces have proven
501 themselves in the first example, but they have not yet acquired a long track record in the second
502 example. This is not to say that REST, and CIM-RS in particular, is not appropriate for the
503 second example, only that it may present new challenges.

504 CIM-RS provides an alternative to SOAP based implementations and allows implementers to take
505 advantages of the unique characteristics of REST. The decision to use CIM-RS should be made in the full
506 context of the experience of the implementers, the environment and purpose of the implementation.

507 9 Conclusion

508 CIM-RS is a set of specifications that describe a rigorous REST interface to resources modeled following
509 the principles of the CIM metamodel. The immediate and obvious consequence of this goal is to provide
510 REST access to management instrumentation based on the more than 1400 pre-existing classes in the
511 DMTF CIM Schema and in management profiles.

512 This addresses an important issue in the industry: RESTful interfaces have become an interface of choice
513 for application interaction over the Internet. With rising interest in cloud computing, which largely depends

514 on Internet communications, the importance of REST interfaces is also rising. Consequently, a protocol
515 that promises to give existing applications a RESTful interface with minimal investment is extremely
516 attractive.

517 CIM-RS provides more than an additional interface to existing CIM-based implementations. The CIM
518 metamodel is a general object oriented modeling approach and can be applied to many modeling
519 challenges. Thus, for any applications built using models that conform to the the CIM metamodel, CIM-RS
520 specifies a standards-based RESTful interface that will increase interoperability. Developers can use the
521 CIM-RS specifications as the basis for a design pattern and avoid reinventing a RESTful API for each
522 application, saving time and effort and minimizing testing,

523 CIM-RS has the potential to become a basic pattern for application communication within the enterprise,
524 between enterprises, and within the cloud. It applies to existing implementations of CIM objects, future
525 CIM object implementations, and implementations of new objects modeled following the CIM metamodel.

526
527
528
529

ANNEX A

Change Log

Version	Date	Description
1.0.0a	2012-08-28	Released as a Work in Progress

530

Bibliography

531 Documents published by standards development organizations

- 532 DMTF DSP0004, *CIM Infrastructure Specification 2.7*,
533 http://www.dmtf.org/standards/published_documents/DSP0004_2.7.pdf
- 534 DMTF DSP0223, *Generic Operations 1.0*,
535 http://www.dmtf.org/standards/published_documents/DSP0223_1.0.pdf
- 536 DMTF DSP0210, *CIM-RS Protocol 1.0*,
537 http://www.dmtf.org/standards/published_documents/DSP0210_1.0.pdf
- 538 DMTF DSP0211, *CIM-RS Payload Representation in JSON 1.0*,
539 http://www.dmtf.org/standards/published_documents/DSP0211_1.0.pdf
- 540 ECMA-262, *ECMAScript Language Specification, 5th Edition*, December 2009,
541 <http://www.ecma-international.org/publications/standards/Ecma-262.htm>
- 542 IETF RFC2616, *Hypertext Transfer Protocol – HTTP/1.1*, June 1999,
543 <http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2616>
- 544 IETF RFC3986, *Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax*, January 2005,
545 <http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3986>
- 546 IETF RFC5789, *PATCH Method for HTTP*, March 2010,
547 <http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5789>
- 548 ISO/IEC 10646:2003, *Information technology -- Universal Multiple-Octet Coded Character Set (UCS)*,
549 [http://standards.iso.org/ittf/PubliclyAvailableStandards/c039921_ISO_IEC_10646_2003\(E\).zip](http://standards.iso.org/ittf/PubliclyAvailableStandards/c039921_ISO_IEC_10646_2003(E).zip)
- 550 The Unicode Consortium, *The Unicode Standard, Version 5.2.0, Annex #15: Unicode Normalization*
551 *Forms*,
552 <http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr15/>

553 Other documents

- 554 R. Fielding, *Architectural Styles and the Design of Network-based Software Architectures*, PhD thesis,
555 University of California, Irvine, 2000,
556 <http://www.ics.uci.edu/~fielding/pubs/dissertation/top.htm>
- 557 R. Fielding, *REST APIs must be hypertext driven*, October 2008,
558 <http://roy.gbiv.com/untangled/2008/rest-apis-must-be-hypertext-driven>
- 559 J. Holzer, *RESTful Web Services and JSON for WBEM Operations*, Master thesis, University of Applied
560 Sciences, Konstanz, Germany, June 2009,
561 <http://mond.htwg-konstanz.de/Abschlussarbeiten/Details.aspx?id=1120>
- 562 A. Manes, *Rest principle: Separation of representation and resource*, March 2009,
563 <http://apsblog.burtongroup.com/2009/03/rest-principle-separation-of-representation-and-resource.html>
- 564 L. Richardson and S. Ruby, *RESTful Web Services*, May 2007, O'Reilly, ISBN 978-0-596-52926-0,
565 <http://www.oreilly.de/catalog/9780596529260/>