YANG to Redfish Mapping Specification

Information for Work-in-Progress version:

IMPORTANT: This document is not a standard. It does not necessarily reflect the views of the DMTF or its members. Because this document is a Work in Progress, this document may still change, perhaps profoundly and without notice. This document is available for public review and comment until superseded.

Provide any comments through the DMTF Feedback Portal: http://www.dmtf.org/standards/feedback

Supersedes: None

Document Class: Normative

Document Status: Work in Progress

Document Language: en-US
Copyright Notice

Copyright © 2016 Distributed Management Task Force, Inc. (DMTF). All rights reserved.

DMTF is a not-for-profit association of industry members dedicated to promoting enterprise and systems management and interoperability. Members and non-members may reproduce DMTF specifications and documents, provided that correct attribution is given. As DMTF specifications may be revised from time to time, the particular version and release date should always be noted.

Implementation of certain elements of this standard or proposed standard may be subject to third party patent rights, including provisional patent rights (herein "patent rights"). DMTF makes no representations to users of the standard as to the existence of such rights, and is not responsible to recognize, disclose, or identify any or all such third party patent right, owners or claimants, nor for any incomplete or inaccurate identification or disclosure of such rights, owners or claimants. DMTF shall have no liability to any party, in any manner or circumstance, under any legal theory whatsoever, for failure to recognize, disclose, or identify any such third party patent rights, or for such party’s reliance on the standard or incorporation thereof in its product, protocols or testing procedures. DMTF shall have no liability to any party implementing such standard, whether such implementation is foreseeable or not, nor to any patent owner or claimant, and shall have no liability or responsibility for costs or losses incurred if a standard is withdrawn or modified after publication, and shall be indemnified and held harmless by any party implementing the standard from any and all claims of infringement by a patent owner for such implementations.

For information about patents held by third-parties which have notified the DMTF that, in their opinion, such patent may relate to or impact implementations of DMTF standards, visit http://www.dmtf.org/about/policies/disclosures.php.

This document’s normative language is English. Translation into other languages is permitted.
CONTENTS

36 Foreword ........................................................................................................................................... 6
37 Acknowledgments ............................................................................................................................. 6
38 Introduction ....................................................................................................................................... 7
39 1 Scope ............................................................................................................................................. 8
40 2 Normative references ..................................................................................................................... 8
41 3 Terms and definitions ..................................................................................................................... 8
42 4 Symbols and abbreviated terms ..................................................................................................... 9
43 5 Description ...................................................................................................................................... 9
44 5.1 YANG ......................................................................................................................................... 9
45 5.2 Redfish ....................................................................................................................................... 10
46 5.3 Differences between YANG and Redfish CSDL ....................................................................... 10
47 5.3.1 Other mapping decisions ...................................................................................................... 11
48 5.3.2 YANG namespace ............................................................................................................... 11
49 5.3.3 Synthesized names for CSDL .............................................................................................. 11
50 5.3.4 ODData annotations ............................................................................................................. 11
51 5.4 Redfish resource URI ............................................................................................................... 11
52 6 YANG statement mapping format .................................................................................................. 13
53 6.1 Module statement ...................................................................................................................... 15
54 6.1.1 Mapping YANG depiction to Redfish mockup ................................................................. 15
55 6.1.2 Mapping YANG code to Redfish CSDL ............................................................................. 15
56 6.1.3 Import statement .................................................................................................................. 17
57 6.1.4 Include statement ................................................................................................................ 18
58 6.1.5 Namespace statement ......................................................................................................... 19
59 6.1.6 Prefix statement .................................................................................................................. 19
60 6.2 Submodule statement ................................................................................................................. 19
61 6.2.1 Belongs-to statement ............................................................................................................ 21
62 6.3 Typedef statement ..................................................................................................................... 21
63 6.3.1 Mapping YANG code to Redfish CSDL ............................................................................. 21
64 6.3.2 Default statement ................................................................................................................ 22
65 6.4 Type statement .......................................................................................................................... 22
66 6.4.1 Mapping YANG code to Redfish CSDL ............................................................................. 23
67 6.4.2 Path statement ...................................................................................................................... 24
68 6.4.3 require-instance statement ............................................................................................... 24
69 6.4.4 Mapping special types ....................................................................................................... 25
70 6.5 Container statement .................................................................................................................. 28
71 6.5.1 Mapping the YANG depiction to Redfish mockup ........................................................... 28
72 6.5.2 Mapping YANG code to Redfish CSDL ............................................................................. 29
73 6.6 Leaf statement ............................................................................................................................ 31
74 6.6.1 Mapping YANG depiction to Redfish mockup ................................................................. 31
75 6.6.2 Mapping YANG code to Redfish CSDL ............................................................................. 31
76 6.7 Leaf-list statement ..................................................................................................................... 32
77 6.7.1 Mapping YANG depiction to Redfish mockup ................................................................. 32
78 6.7.2 Mapping YANG code to Redfish CSDL ............................................................................. 32
79 6.8 List statement ............................................................................................................................ 33
80 6.8.1 Mapping YANG depiction to Redfish mockup ................................................................. 33
81 6.8.2 Mapping YANG code to Redfish CSDL ............................................................................. 34
82 6.8.3 Key statement ...................................................................................................................... 36
83 6.9 Choice statement ....................................................................................................................... 36
84 6.9.1 Mapping the YANG depiction to Redfish mockup ........................................................... 37
85 6.9.2 Translating the YANG depiction to Redfish mockup ....................................................... 37
86 6.9.3 Case ...................................................................................................................................... 39
87 6.10 Anyxml statement .................................................................................................................... 39

Version 0.5.6 Work in Progress 3
YANG to Redfish Mapping Specification

Table 1 - Differences between YANG and Redfish
Table 2 - YANG statements
Table 3 - Module statement mapping
Table 4 - Import statement mapping
Table 5 - Submodule statement mapping
Table 6 - Typedef statement mapping
Table 7 - Built in YANG types
Table 8 - Type statement mapping
Table 9 - Container statement mapping
Table 10 - Leaf statement mapping
Table 11 - Leaf-list statement mapping
Table 12 - List statement mapping
Table 13 - Choice statement mapping
Table 14 - Anyxml statement mapping
Table 15 - Grouping statement mapping
Table 16 - Uses statement mapping
Table 17 - Rpc statement mapping
Table 18 - Notification statement mapping
Table 19 - Augment statement mapping

ANNEX A (informative) Change log

1.17 Tables

118 Table 1 – Differences between YANG and Redfish
119 Table 2 - YANG statements
120 Table 3 – Module statement mapping
121 Table 4 – Import statement mapping
122 Table 5 – Submodule statement mapping
123 Table 6 – Typedef statement mapping
124 Table 7 – Built in YANG types
125 Table 8 – Type statement mapping
126 Table 9 – Container statement mapping
127 Table 10 – Leaf statement mapping
128 Table 11 – Leaf-list statement mapping
129 Table 12 – List statement mapping
130 Table 13 – Choice statement mapping
131 Table 14 – Anyxml statement mapping
132 Table 15 – Grouping statement mapping
133 Table 16 – Uses statement mapping
134 Table 17 – Rpc statement mapping
135 Table 18 – Notification statement mapping
136 Table 19 – Augment statement mapping

Work in Progress Version 0.5.6
137 Table 20 – Identity statement mapping ........................................................................... 48
138 Table 21 – Extension statement mapping ........................................................................ 49
139 Table 22 – Argument statement mapping ......................................................................... 50
140 Table 23 – Feature statement mapping ............................................................................. 50
141 Table 24 – Deviation statement mapping .......................................................................... 52
142 Table 25 – Deviate statement mapping ............................................................................. 52
143
144
145
Foreword

The YANG to Redfish Mapping Specification was prepared by the Chinook Technical Working Group.

DMTF is a not-for-profit association of industry members dedicated to promoting enterprise and systems management and interoperability. For information about the DMTF, see http://www.dmtf.org.

Acknowledgments

The DMTF acknowledges the following individuals for their contributions to this document:

- Mrittika Ganguli – Intel Corporation
- Ajay Gummadi - Microsoft
- Mike Lazar – Dell, Inc
- John Leung – Intel Corporation
- Peter Mellquist – Hewlett Packard Enterprise
- Michael Pizzo - Microsoft
- Rohan Sen - VMware
- Joseph White – Dell, Inc
Introduction

The information in this specification should be sufficient to convert a YANG model to a file which adheres to the Common Schema Data Language (CSDL) format. CSDL is one of the formats that Redfish's uses to describe schema and is described in OASIS OData specification (odata.org). The conversion can be done manually or programmatically.
YANG to Redfish Mapping Specification

1 Scope

The YANG to Redfish Mapping Specification describes how to map a YANG model to a Redfish model, specifically, the mapping to YANG RFCs to Redfish CSDLs.

The mapping should be universal enough to convert any YANG model. This will allow network devices to be managed via the Redfish RESTful interface, regardless of the YANG model they support.

The specification uses IETF RFC 6020 as the description of the YANG model elements. The specification uses examples from DHCP for usages of the YANG model elements.

This document describes a mapping translation. The goal is for completeness. However, there may be YANG model elements and constructs beyond RFC 6020 which may need to be added.

2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated or versioned references, only the edition cited (including any corrigenda or DMTF update versions) applies. For references without a date or version, the latest published edition of the referenced document (including any corrigenda or DMTF update versions) applies.


OASIS OData v4.0, https://www.oasis-open.org/standards#odatav4.0

3 Terms and definitions

In this document, some terms have a specific meaning beyond the normal English meaning. Those terms are defined in this clause.

The terms "shall" ("required"), "shall not" , "should" ("recommended"), "should not" ("not recommended"), "may", "need not" ("not required"), "can" and "cannot" in this document are to be interpreted as described in ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, Annex H. The terms in parentheses are alternatives for the preceding term, for use in exceptional cases when the preceding term cannot be used for linguistic reasons. Note that ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, Annex H specifies additional alternatives. Occurrences of such additional alternatives shall be interpreted in their normal English meaning.

The terms "clause", "subclause", "paragraph", and "annex" in this document are to be interpreted as described in ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, Clause 5.
The terms "normative" and "informative" in this document are to be interpreted as described in ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, Clause 3. In this document, clauses, subclauses, or annexes labeled "(informative)" do not contain normative content. Notes and examples are always informative elements.

The terms defined in DSP0004, DSP0223, and DSP1001 apply to this document. The following additional terms are used in this document.

### 4 Symbols and abbreviated terms

The abbreviations defined in DSP0004, DSP0223, and DSP1001 apply to this document. The following additional abbreviations are used in this document.

### 5 Description

This YANG to Redfish Mapping Guidelines document describes how to map YANG statements into Redfish OData CSDL constructs.

#### 5.1 YANG

YANG is a data modeling language used to model configuration and state data manipulated by the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF), NETCONF remote procedure calls, and NETCONF notifications. YANG is used to model the operations and content layers of NETCONF.

Various SDO have YANG RFCs for various network capabilities.

A YANG RFC includes a YANG depiction of the model (tree diagram) and YANG code (or schema). The YANG code is considered more normative than the YANG depiction.

The YANG depiction gives a high level view of the model's construct. Below is a fragment of the depiction from the DHCP Draft.

```
    +--rw interfaces
    |   +--rw interface* [name]
    |       +--rw name   string
    |       +--rw description? string
    |       +--rw type    identityref
    |       +--rw enabled? boolean
    . . .
```

The YANG code specifies the schema associated with the YANG depiction. The YANG code is bracketed by `<CODE BEGINS>` and `<CODE ENDS>` delimiters. Below is a fragment of the YANG code.

```
<CODE BEGINS>
module ietf-interfaces {
    namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-interfaces";
    prefix if;
    import ietf-yang-types {
        prefix yang;
    }
    organization "IETF NETMOD (NETCONF Data Modeling Language) Working Group";
    Contact "-";
}<CODE ENDS>
```
container interfaces {
    description "Interface configuration parameters.";
    . . .
}

5.2 Redfish

The Redfish Scalable Platforms Management API ("Redfish") is a new specification that uses RESTful interface semantics to access data defined in model format to perform systems management. It is suitable for a wide range of servers, from stand-alone servers to rack mount and bladed environments but scales equally well for large scale cloud environments.

RESTful interface specified by:
- A URI path to resource
- The content of the resource are described in an OData schema (CSDL) and json-schema

5.3 Differences between YANG and Redfish CSDL

There are basic differences between YANG and Redfish CSDL which are evident throughout. Table 1 contains systemic differences between YANG RFCs and Redfish CSDL. The table includes the decision made for mapping purposes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YANG</th>
<th>Redfish JSON and CSDL</th>
<th>Mapping Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Names contain &quot;-&quot; (dashes)</td>
<td>OData does not allow dashes</td>
<td>Convert dashes to &quot;_&quot; underscore, when used in an identifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Names contain &quot;:&quot; (colons)</td>
<td>OData does not allow colons</td>
<td>Convert colon to &quot;.&quot; (period), when used in an identifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Names are generally Camel case, but exceptions exist</td>
<td>Names are Pascal case</td>
<td>Use YANG naming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some names are concatenations (e.g. dhcp/relay/dhcpRelayServerGroups)</td>
<td>Prefers shorter names (e.g. dhcp/relay/ServerGroups)</td>
<td>Use YANG naming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Container names are plural</td>
<td>URI uses plural forms (Systems),</td>
<td>Use YANG naming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YANG has implicit scoping based on containment</td>
<td>but CSDL use singular form</td>
<td>Synthesize names to retain YANG scoping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Containers may contain no leaves/properties</td>
<td>&quot;Resources should contain properties (otherwise, consider eliminating resource)&quot;</td>
<td>Include resources without properties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List nodes may have leaves/properties</td>
<td>Resource.Collections don't have properties</td>
<td>Place properties in a subordinate-resource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference statements are not normative</td>
<td>LongDescription properties contain</td>
<td>Place LongDescription at the module level which normatively refers to the RFC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>normative text</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 – Differences between YANG and Redfish
5.3.1 Other mapping decisions

These general decisions were also followed for the mapping the YANG models:

- Map RFCs as-is. Suppress the desire to optimize for CSDL
- Define everything in the schema and don’t worry about feature exposure exclusion
- A YANG module will correspond to an entity type at the top level
- Treat YANG some statements as a pre-processor style directive (e.g., uses, grouping)

5.3.2 YANG namespace

Preserve the YANG naming, including case and spelling (e.g., module, node structure).

The above rule strays from the Redfish's Pascal-case capitalization convention, since most YANG RFCs use camel-case. The deviation is necessary to allow the YANG community to understand the resultant mapping collateral.

5.3.3 Synthesized names for CSDL

Some model translations will require synthesized names for intermediate objects in the CSDL version. The intent is to create a translated mapping such that the resulting derived schema and JSON message match what would be expected from reading the YANG model directly.

5.3.4 OData annotations

Liberal use of CSDL Annotations to encapsulate YANG model information.

For each YANG statement, an annotation shall exist which retains the value from the original YANG statement. For example, the default statement results in an annotation of Term="Redfish.Yang.default" and whose String attribute have the value of the <default value>, "enable".

```
<Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.default" String="enable"/>
```

If a YANG statement is specifies a YANG node, an annotation is added which specifies the type of node which the YANG statement specifies. YANG nodes exist for module, submodule, container, and list. For example, the following module statement results in the following annotation in the CSDL

```
<Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.NodeName" String="ietf_system" >
</Annotation>
```

If the value of YANG statement has double quotes, then the CSDL escaping rules should be follow in creating the annotation string.

5.4 Redfish resource URI

The resource which represents the YANG model is attached to the instance of the NetworkDevice. Because of the abundance of YANG definitions, the resource name is constructed from the organization and the module name.

```
./NetworkDevices/{id}/<org>_<module-name>
```
The resource name is "ietf_interface" for IETF RFC 7317 (System) as shown below.

```
./NetworkDevices/{id}/ietf_system
```

An example mockup of the NetworkDevice singleton resource is shown below. The properties for DHCP, DNS and interfaces are shown.

```
{
    "@Redfish.Copyright": "",
    "@odata.context": "/redfish/v1/$metadata#NetworkDevices/Members/$entity",
    "@odata.type": "#NetworkDevice.v1_0_0.NetworkDevice",
    "@odata.id": "/redfish/v1/NetworkDevices/SW_15",
    "Id": "SW_15",
    "Name": "Ethernet Switch",
    "Manufacturer": "Manufacturer Name",
    "Model": "Model Name",
    "SKU": "67B",
    "SerialNumber": "2M220100SL",
    "PartNumber": "76-88883",
    "Dhcp": { "@odata.id": "/redfish/v1/NetworkDevices/SW_15/ietf_dhcp" },
    "Dns": { "@odata.id": "/redfish/v1/NetworkDevices/SW_15/ietf_dns" },
    "Interfaces": {"@odata.id": "/redfish/v1/NetworkDevices/SW_15/ietf_interfaces"},
    "Links": {
        "Chassis": [
            { "@odata.id": "/redfish/v1/Chassis/NetworkDeviceChassis_1" }
        ],
        "ManagedBy": [
            { "@odata.id": "/redfish/v1/Managers/NetworkDeviceManager_1" }
        ]
    },
    "Actions": {
        "#NetworkDevice.Reset": {
            "target": "/redfish/v1/NetworkDevices/SW_15/Actions/NetworkDevice.Reset",
            "ResetType@Redfish.AllowableValues": [ "On", "ForceOff", "GracefulShutdown", "ForceRestart"
        ]
    }
}
```
6 YANG statement mapping format

This clause describes how the mapping is formatted which the remainder of this document.

The clauses follow the ordering from RFC6020. For each YANG statement, the clause will contain the three sub-clauses

- Mapping YANG Depiction to Redfish Mockup
- Mapping YANG code to Redfish CSDL
- Statement Mapping Table

The "Mapping YANG Depiction to Redfish Mockup" clause shows an example of how the YANG depiction would look as a Redfish mockup, if the mapping rules are followed. The Redfish mockup shows what the end-user will see, without looking at the schema. If a statement does not have a depiction, then this section may not exist.

The "Mapping YANG code to Redfish CSDL" specifies a mapping ruleset to convert YANG code to a model with adheres to the Redfish specification.

The Statement Mapping table contains the mapping rules for the statement and each allowable sub-statement. These tables are heavily cross-referenced. There are sub-sections for sub-statements for which additional text is beneficial to understanding the mapping.

Table 2 shows the set of YANG statements that will to be mapped in Redfish CSDL. The ordering of these statements mirrored the ordering in RFC6020.

Note: Uses and grouping statement should be resolved during the translation. Annotations as still added to retain the notion of uses/grouping relationship. The text in the Description column are taken from RFC6020.

Table 2 - YANG statements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YANG</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>module</td>
<td>The &quot;module&quot; statement defines the module's name, and groups all statements that belong to the module together.</td>
<td>Clause 6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>submodule</td>
<td>The &quot;submodule&quot; statement defines the submodule's name, and groups all statements that belong to the submodule together.</td>
<td>Clause 6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>typedef</td>
<td>The &quot;typedef&quot; statement defines a new type that may be used locally in the module, in modules or submodules which include it, and by other modules that import from it.</td>
<td>Clause 6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type</td>
<td>The &quot;type&quot; statement takes as an argument a string that is the name of a YANG built-in type or a derived type, followed by an optional block of sub-statements that are used to put further restrictions on the type.</td>
<td>Clause 6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>container</td>
<td>The &quot;container&quot; statement is used to group related nodes in a subtree. A container has only child nodes and no value. A container may contain any number of child nodes of any type (including leaves, lists, containers, and leaf-lists).</td>
<td>Clause 6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf</td>
<td>The &quot;leaf&quot; statement contains simple data like an integer or a string. It has exactly one value of a particular type and no child nodes.</td>
<td>Clause 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf-list</td>
<td>The &quot;leaf-list&quot; is a sequence of leaf nodes with exactly one value of a particular type per leaf.</td>
<td>Clause 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>list</td>
<td>The &quot;list&quot; statement defines a sequence of list entries.</td>
<td>Clause 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YANG</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>choice</td>
<td>The &quot;choice&quot; statement defines a set of alternatives, only one of which may exist at any one time.</td>
<td>Clause 6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anyxml</td>
<td>The &quot;anyxml&quot; statement defines an interior node in the schema tree. The &quot;anyxml&quot; statement is used to represent an unknown chunk of XML.</td>
<td>Clause 6.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grouping</td>
<td>The &quot;grouping&quot; statement is used to define a reusable block of nodes, which may be used locally in the module, in modules that include it, and by other modules that import from it.</td>
<td>Clause 6.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uses</td>
<td>The &quot;uses&quot; statement is used to reference a &quot;grouping&quot; definition. It takes one argument, which is the name of the grouping.</td>
<td>Clause 6.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rpc</td>
<td>The &quot;rpc&quot; statement is used to define a NETCONF RPC operation.</td>
<td>Clause 6.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>notification</td>
<td>The &quot;notification&quot; statement is used to define a NETCONF notification.</td>
<td>Clause 6.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>augment</td>
<td>The &quot;augment&quot; statement allows a module or submodule to add to the schema tree defined in an external module, or the current module and its submodules, and to add to the nodes from a grouping in a &quot;uses&quot; statement.</td>
<td>Clause 6.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>identity</td>
<td>The &quot;identity&quot; statement is used to define a new globally unique, abstract, and untyped identity.</td>
<td>Clause 6.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extension</td>
<td>The &quot;extension&quot; statement allows the definition of new statements within the YANG language. This new statement definition can be imported and used by other modules.</td>
<td>Clause 6.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>argument</td>
<td>The &quot;argument&quot; statement, which is optional, takes as an argument a string that is the name of the argument to the keyword. If no argument statement is present, the keyword expects no argument when it is used.</td>
<td>Clause 6.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>feature</td>
<td>The &quot;feature&quot; statement is used to define a mechanism by which portions of the schema are marked as conditional. A feature name is defined that can later be referenced using the &quot;if-feature&quot; statement.</td>
<td>Clause 6.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>if-feature</td>
<td>The &quot;if-feature&quot; statement makes its parent statement conditional.</td>
<td>Clause 6.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deviation</td>
<td>The &quot;deviation&quot; statement defines a hierarchy of a module that the device does not implement faithfully.</td>
<td>Clause 6.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>config</td>
<td>The &quot;config&quot; statement takes as an argument the string &quot;true&quot; or &quot;false&quot;. If &quot;config&quot; is &quot;true&quot;, the definition represents configuration.</td>
<td>Clause 6.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>status</td>
<td>The &quot;status&quot; statement takes as an argument one of the strings &quot;current&quot;, &quot;deprecated&quot;, or &quot;obsolete&quot;.</td>
<td>Clause 6.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>description</td>
<td>The &quot;description&quot; statement takes as an argument a string that contains a human-readable textual description of this definition. The text is provided in a language (or languages) chosen by the module developer;</td>
<td>Clause 6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference</td>
<td>The &quot;reference&quot; statement takes as an argument a string that is used to specify a textual cross-reference to an external document, either another module that defines related management information, or a document that provides additional information relevant to this definition.</td>
<td>Clause 6.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>when</td>
<td>The &quot;when&quot; statement makes its parent data definition statement conditional. The node defined by the parent data definition statement is only valid when the condition specified by the &quot;when&quot; statement is satisfied.</td>
<td>Clause 6.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.1 Module statement

From RFC6020, the "module" statement defines the module's name, and groups all statements that belong to the module together. The "module" statement's argument is the name of the module, followed by a block of sub-statements that hold detailed module information.

6.1.1 Mapping YANG depiction to Redfish mockup

The module statement is depicted as follows:

```yang
module: ietf-system  (System example)
```

The resultant URI for the module resource is shown below. The module resource is a subordinate resource to the NetworkDevice resource.

```
./NetworkDevices/{id}/[modified-module-name]
```

In which, [modified-module-name] is synthesized by changing the dashes "-" to underscores "_" in the module-name.

```
./NetworkDevices/{id}/ietf_system  (System example)
```

A mockup of the ietf_system resource is shown below.

```json
{
  "@Redfish.Copyright": "",
  "@odata.context": "/redfish/v1/$metadata#NetworkDevices/Members/ietf_dhcp/$entity",
  "@odata.type": "#ietf_dhcp.1.0.0.ietf_dhcp",
  "@odata.id": "/redfish/v1/NetworkDevices/SW_15/ietf_dhcp",
  "Id": "ietf_system",
  "Name": "System",
  "system": {
    "@odata.id": "/redfish/v1/NetworkDevices/SW_15/ietf_dhcp/system"
  },
  "system_state": {
    "@odata.id": "/redfish/v1/NetworkDevices/SW_15/ietf_dhcp/system_state"
  }
}
```

6.1.2 Mapping YANG code to Redfish CSDL

The YANG code for a module statement is shown below.

```yang
<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-system@2014-08-06.yang"
module ietf-system {
  namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-system";
  prefix "sys";
  
  import ietf-yang-types {
    prefix yang;
  }

  organization "IETF NETMOD (NETCONF Data Modeling Language) Working Group";
  contact "...";
  description "...";
  revision "2014-12-18";
  ...
}
```
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The resultant CSDL fragment is shown below. Note the following items in the mapping:

- The `<edmx:Reference>` tag is constructed from the `import` statements. The Uri and Namespace attributes are constructed from the `import` statement. The Alias attribute is constructed from the `prefix` statement.
- The `<Schema>` tag is constructed from the `namespace` and `prefix` statements. The unversioned `<Schema>` tag uses the `prefix` statement.
- There is an annotation for Redfish.Yang.NodeType
- Three annotation are added to the contact, description and revision statements
- The annotations Redfish.Yang.description and Odata.Description are both present

```xml
<edmx:Edmx xmlns:edmx="http://docs.oasis-open.org/odata/ns/edmx" Version="4.0">
  <edmx:Reference Uri="http://redfish.dmtf.org/schemas/v1/ietf-inet-types.xml">
    <edmx:Include Namespace="ietf-inet-types.v1_0_0" Alias="inet" />
  </edmx:Reference>

  . . .

  <edmx:DataServices>
    <Schema Namespace="ietf_system" xmlns="urn.ietf.params.xml.ns.yang.ietf_system"
      Alias="sys">
      <Annotation Term="OData.LongDescription" String="[normative statement about RFC]" />
      <EntityType Name="ietf_system" BaseType="Resource.v1_0_0.Resource">
        <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.contact" String="…" />
        <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.description" String="[text from description statement]" />
        <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.revision" String="2014-12-18" />
        <Annotation Term="OData.Description" String="[text from description statement]" />
      </EntityType>
    </Schema>

    <Schema Namespace="ietf_system.v1_0_0" xmlns="urn.ietf.params.xml.ns.yang.ietf_system"
      Alias="sys">
      <EntityType Name="ietf_dhcp.ietf_system">
        <NavigationProperty Name="system" Type="system.system">
          <Annotation Term="OData.Permissions" EnumMember="OData.Permission/ReadWrite" />
          <Annotation Term="OData.LongDescription" String="" />
          <Annotation Term="OData.AutoExpand" />
        </NavigationProperty>
        <Annotation Term="OData.Description" String="" />
        <Annotation Term="OData.Permissions" EnumMember="OData.Permission/Read" />
        <Annotation Term="OData.LongDescription" String="" />
        <Annotation Term="OData.AutoExpand" />
      </EntityType>
    </Schema>
  </edmx:DataServices>
</edmx:Edmx>
```

Table 3 shows the mapping of the `module` statement's sub-statements.
Table 3 – Module statement mapping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Mapping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>anyxml</td>
<td>See clause 6.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>augment</td>
<td>See clause 6.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>choice</td>
<td>See clause 6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contact</td>
<td><code>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.contact&quot; String=&quot;[text from contact statement]&quot;/&gt;</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>container</td>
<td>See clause 6.4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>description</td>
<td>See clause 6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deviation</td>
<td>See clause 6.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extension</td>
<td>See clause 6.16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>feature</td>
<td>See clause 6.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grouping</td>
<td>See clause 6.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>identity</td>
<td>See clause 6.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>import</td>
<td>See clause 6.1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>include</td>
<td>See clause 6.1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf</td>
<td>See clause 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf-list</td>
<td>See clause 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>list</td>
<td>See clause 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>namespace</td>
<td>See clause 6.1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>notification</td>
<td>See clause 6.1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organization</td>
<td><code>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.organization&quot; String=&quot;[text from organization statement]&quot;/&gt;</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prefix</td>
<td>See clause 6.1.6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference</td>
<td>See clause 6.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>revision</td>
<td><code>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.revision&quot; String=&quot;[text from revision statement]&quot;/&gt;</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><code>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.description&quot; String=&quot;[text from description statement]&quot;/&gt;</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><code>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.reference&quot; String=&quot;[text from reference statement]&quot;/&gt;</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><code>&lt;/Annotation&gt;</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rpc</td>
<td>See clause 6.12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>typedef</td>
<td>See clause 6.2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uses</td>
<td>See clause 6.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yang-version</td>
<td><code>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.yang_version&quot; String=&quot;[Text from yang-version statement]&quot;/&gt;</code></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.1.3 Import statement

The import statement is mapped to a `<edmx:Reference>` tag. The import statement text is used to synthesize the value of the Uri and Namespace attributes. The prefix statement is mapped to value of the tag's Alias attribute.

Open the import target and read the YANG module's namespace to fill in the Namespace attribute of the Edmx:Include statement.
The YANG import statement is shown below.

```
prefix "dhcp";
import <import_value {
    prefix <prefix value>
}
```

The resultant Redfish CSDL is shown below.

```
<edmx:Reference Uri="<uri value>">
    <edmx:Include Namespace="<namespace value>", Alias="<alias value>" />
</edmx:Reference>
```

In which

- `<uri value>` = http://redfish.dmtf.org/schemas/v1/<import value>.xml
- `<namespace value>` = <import value>.v1_0_0
- `<alias value>` = <prefix value>

The YANG import statement from DHCP is shown below.

```
prefix "dhcp";
import ietf-inet-types {
    prefix "inet";
}
```

The resultant Redfish CSDL is shown below.

```
<edmx:Reference Uri="http://redfish.dmtf.org/schemas/v1/ietf-inet-types.xml">
    <edmx:Include Namespace="ietf-inet-types.v1_0_0", Alias="inet" />
</edmx:Reference>
```

Table 4 shows the mapping of the submodule statement's sub-statements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Mapping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>prefix</td>
<td>&lt;edmx:Include Alias=&quot;[text of prefix statement]&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>revision-date</td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.revision_date&quot; String=&quot;[text from revision-date statement]&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.1.4 Include statement

From RFC6020, the "include" statement is used to make content from a submodule available to that submodule’s parent module, or to another submodule of that parent module. The argument is an identifier that is the name of the submodule to include.

Modules are only allowed to include submodules that belong to that module, as defined by the "belongs-to" statement. Submodules are only allowed to include other submodules belonging to the same module.

Open the include target and read the YANG module’s namespace to fill in the Namespace attribute of the Edmx:Include statement.
6.1.5 Namespace statement

The namespace statement is mapped to the OData <schema> tag.

The YANG namespace statement is shown below.

```yml
module <module value> {
    namespace <namespace value>;
    ...
}
```

The resultant CSDL is shown below.

```xml
<schema Namespace="<Namespace value>" xmlns="<xmlns value>"
```

In which

- `<Namespace value> = <module name>.v1_0_0`
- `<xmlns value> = <namespace value>"`

The YANG code from DHCP is shown below.

```yml
module huawei-dhcp {
    namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-dhcp";
    ...
}
```

The resultant CSDL is shown below. In manual mapping, mapped to <schema xmlns value>

```xml
e.g. <schema Namespace="ietf_dhcp.v1_0_0" xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-dhcp">
```

6.1.6 Prefix statement

See clause 6.1.3, which also describes the prefix statement.

6.2 Submodule statement

While the primary unit in YANG is a module, a YANG module can itself be constructed out of several submodules. The "submodule" statement defines the submodule’s name, and groups all statements that belong to the submodule together. The "submodule" statement’s argument is the name of the submodule, followed by a block of sub-statements that hold detailed submodule information.

The YANG submodule is depicted as follows:

```yml
submodule: [submodule-name]
```

The resultant Redfish construct is a singleton resource. The modified-submodule-name is created by changing the dashes "-" to underscores "_" in the submodule-name.

```yml
./NetworkDevices/{id}/[modified-name]
```

The following is an example YANG code for a submodule statement.

```yml
submodule acme-types {
    belongs-to "acme-system" {
        prefix "acme";
    }
    import ietf-yang-types {
        prefix "yang";
    }
    organization "ACME Inc.";
    contact
    "Joe L. User
    ACME, Inc. . . . ";
```
Table 5 shows the mapping of the *submodule* statement’s sub-statements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Mapping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>anyxml</td>
<td>See clause 6.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>augment</td>
<td>See clause 6.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>belongs-to</td>
<td>See clause 6.2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>choice</td>
<td>See clause 6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contact</td>
<td>See clause 6.4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>container</td>
<td>See clause 6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>description</td>
<td>See clause 6.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extension</td>
<td>See clause 6.16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>feature</td>
<td>See clause 6.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grouping</td>
<td>See clause 6.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>identity</td>
<td>See clause 6.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>import</td>
<td>See clause 6.1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>include</td>
<td>See clause 6.1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf</td>
<td>See clause 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf-list</td>
<td>See clause 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>list</td>
<td>See clause 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>namespace</td>
<td>See clause 6.1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>notification</td>
<td>See clause 6.1.3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organization</td>
<td>See clause 6.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference</td>
<td>See clause 6.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>revision</td>
<td>See clause 6.12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>typedef</td>
<td>See clause 6.2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uses</td>
<td>See clause 6.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yang-version</td>
<td>See clause 6.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.contact" String="[text from contact statement]"/>
6.2.1 Belongs-to statement

From RFC602, the "belongs-to" statement specifies the module to which the submodule belongs. The argument is an identifier that is the name of the module. A submodule MUST only be included by the module to which it belongs, or by another submodule that belongs to that module.

The mandatory "prefix" substatement assigns a prefix for the module to which the submodule belongs.

The CSDL for the belongs-to statement is shown below.

```xml
<Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.belongs_to" String="[text from belongs-to statement]"/>
<Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.prefix" String="[text from prefix statement]"/>
</Annotation>
```

6.3 Typedef statement

The "typedef" statement defines a new type that may be used locally in the module, in modules or submodules which include it, and by other modules that import from it. The new type is called the "derived type", and the type from which it was derived is called the "base type". All derived types can be traced back to a YANG built-in type.

There is no YANG depiction of a YANG typedef statement.

6.3.1 Mapping YANG code to Redfish CSDL

An example of the typedef statement from RFC 6991 (Common YANG data types) is shown below.

```yang
typedef gauge32 {
  type uint32;
  description "...";
  reference ";-";
}
```

The resultant Redfish construct is a TypeDefinition shown below.

```xml
<TypeDefinition Name="gauge32" UnderlyingType="Edm.Redfish.Yang.uint32">
  <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.description" String="..."/>
  <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.reference" String="-"/>
</TypeDefinition>
```

Another example of the typedef statement from RFC 6991 (Common YANG data types) is shown below. This one with a non-built-in type. Instead, listen-ipv4-address is derived from the exist type inet:ipv4-address.

```yang
typedef listen-ipv4-address {
  type inet:ipv4-address;
  default "0.0.0.0";
}
```

The resultant Redfish construct is a TypeDefinition declaration in the CSDL

```xml
<TypeDefinition Name="listen_ipv4_address" UnderlyingType="Edm.String">
  <Annotation Term="Validation.Pattern" String="\^[0-9]{1,3}\.(0-9)\]([0-9]{1,3}\[0-9]{1,3}\$)"/>
  <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.default" String="0.0.0.0"/>
</TypeDefinition>
```

Table 6 shows the mapping of the typedef statement's sub-statements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Mapping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>default</td>
<td>See clause 6.3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>description</td>
<td>See clause 6.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.3.2 Default statement

The default value from the typedef statement is used, if the leaf or leaf-list statements does not have a default sub-statement present, use the default value from the typedef of the leaf or leaf-list type sub-statement to set the CSDL DefaultValue of the leaf or leaf-list corresponding property.

The default statement shall be mapped to an annotation in the CSDL and the value of the DefaultValue attribute of the Property property. The annotation shall be of the form shown below.

```xml
<Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.default" String="Text from default statement"/>
```

The resultant Redfish CSDL for the example above is shown below.

```xml
<Property Name="listen_ipv4_address", Type="inet.ipv4_address",
  DefaultValue="0.0.0.0">
  <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.default" String="0.0.0.0"/>
</Property>
```

6.4 Type statement

From RFC6020, the "type" statement takes as an argument a string that is the name of a YANG built-in type or a derived type, followed by an optional block of sub-statements that are used to put further restrictions on the type. The restrictions that can be applied depend on the type being restricted.

Table 7 shows the list of YANG built-in types.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>CSDL Mapping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>binary</td>
<td>Any binary data</td>
<td>Edm.Binary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bits</td>
<td>A set of bits or flags</td>
<td>Edm.Binary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>boolean</td>
<td>&quot;true&quot; or &quot;false&quot;</td>
<td>Edm.Boolean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>date-and-time</td>
<td>Date and time</td>
<td>Edm.DateTimeOffset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>decimal64</td>
<td>64-bit signed decimal number</td>
<td>Edm.Decimal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>empty</td>
<td>A leaf that does not have any</td>
<td>See clause 6.4.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>enumeration</td>
<td>Enumerated strings</td>
<td>See clause 6.4.4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>identityref</td>
<td>A reference to an abstract</td>
<td>See clause 6.4.4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>instance-identifier</td>
<td>References a data tree node</td>
<td>Redfish.Yang.instance_identifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int8</td>
<td>A 8-bit signed integer</td>
<td>Edm.Sbyte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int16</td>
<td>A 16-bit signed integer</td>
<td>Edm.Int16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>CSDL Mapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int32</td>
<td>A 32-bit signed integer</td>
<td>Edm.Int32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int64</td>
<td>A 64-bit signed integer</td>
<td>Edm.Int64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leafref</td>
<td>A reference to a leaf reference</td>
<td>See clause 6.4.4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>string</td>
<td>A human readable string</td>
<td>Edm.String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uint8</td>
<td>A 8-bit unsigned integer</td>
<td>Edm.Byte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uint16</td>
<td>A 16-bit unsigned integer</td>
<td>Redfish.Yang.uint16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uint32</td>
<td>A 32-bit unsigned integer</td>
<td>Redfish.Yang.uint32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uint64</td>
<td>A 64-bit unsigned integer</td>
<td>Redfish.Yang.uint64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>union</td>
<td>A choice of member types</td>
<td>See clause 6.4.4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Redfish.Yang.Types, there are TypeDefinition's that reflect the above table.

```xml
<TypeDefinition Name="uint16" UnderlyingType="Edm.Int32"/>
<TypeDefinition Name="uint32" UnderlyingType="Edm.Int64"/>
<TypeDefinition Name="uint64" UnderlyingType="Edm.Decimal"/>
```

The type statement is mapped to following annotation

```xml
<Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.YangType" String="[value of type statement]"/>
```

There is no YANG depiction of a YANG type statement.

### 6.4.1 Mapping YANG code to Redfish CSDL

A type statement from DHCP is shown below.

```yang
leaf enable {
  description "Enable or disable dhcp relay function";
  type "boolean";
  default "false";
  config "true";
}
```

The resultant Redfish is shown below. The value of the type statement is mapped to the Type value in the Property definition. The annotation is also added to preserve the original YANG type.

```xml
<Property Name="enable", Type="edm:Boolean" >
  <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.YangType" String="boolean"/>
</Property>
```

Table 8 shows the mapping of the type statement's sub-statements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Mapping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>base</td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.base&quot; String=&quot;the_yang_statement_base string&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bit</td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.bit&quot; String=&quot;bit_name&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.position&quot; Redfish.Yang.uint32=bit_position&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.description&quot; String=&quot;Text from description statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.reference&quot; String=&quot;Text from reference statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.status&quot; EnumMember=&quot;Redfish.Yang.NodeStatus&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Mapping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>enum</td>
<td>Instance of edm.Member where Name = &quot;enum_name&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.description&quot; String=&quot;Text from description statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.reference&quot; String=&quot;Text from reference statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.status&quot; EnumMember=&quot;Redfish.Yang.NodeStatus&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length</td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.length&quot; String=&quot;the length sting from the yang statement&quot;&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.error_message&quot; String=&quot;Text from error-message statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.error_app_tag&quot; String=&quot;Text from error-app-tag statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.description&quot; String=&quot;Text from description statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.reference&quot; String=&quot;Text from reference statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>path</td>
<td>See clause 6.4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pattern</td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.pattern&quot; String=&quot;[text from the pattern statement]&quot;&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.error_message&quot; String=&quot;[text from error-message statement]&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.error_app_tag&quot; String=&quot;[text from error-app-tag statement]&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.description&quot; String=&quot;[text from description statement]&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.reference&quot; String=&quot;[text from reference statement]&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.range&quot; String=&quot;the range sting from the yang statement&quot;&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.error_message&quot; String=&quot;[text from error-message statement]&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.error_app_tag&quot; String=&quot;[text from error-app-tag statement]&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.description&quot; String=&quot;[text from description statement]&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.reference&quot; String=&quot;[text from reference statement]&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>required-instance</td>
<td>See clause 6.4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type</td>
<td>Ignore. The type sub-statement is not supported.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.4.2 Path statement

The "path" statement, takes as an argument a string that MUST refer to a leaf or leaf-list node. The syntax for a path argument is a subset of the XPath abbreviated syntax. Predicates are used only for constraining the values for the key nodes for list entries. Each predicate consists of exactly one equality test per key, and multiple adjacent predicates MAY be present if a list has multiple keys.

### 6.4.3 require-instance statement

The "require-instance" statement MAY be present if the type is "instance-identifier". It takes as an argument the string "true" or "false".

If "require-instance" is "true", it means that the instance being referred MUST exist for the data to be valid.

If "require-instance" is "false", it means that the instance being referred MAY exist in valid data.
The CSDL annotation is shown below.

```xml
<Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.require_instance"
            String="[text from require-instance statement]"/>
```

### 6.4.4 Mapping special types

Returning to Table 7, some of the built-in YANG types are mapped to something more complex than a simple annotation. The following clause specifies that mapping of each of these special built-in YANG types.

#### 6.4.4.1 Empty type

From RFC 6020, the empty built-in type represents a leaf that does not have any value, it conveys information by its presence or absence.

Neither CSDL nor json-schema support this semantic.

The `empty` statement is mapped to a read-only string that only returns the name of the leaf.

The `empty` statement shall be mapped to an annotation in the CSDL and a Property that only contains the value of the empty statement.

The YANG depiction is shown below.

```yang
++-ro is-router? empty
```

The resultant Redfish CSDL for the example above is shown below.

```xml
<Property Name="is_router", Type="Redfish.Yang.empty", DefaultValue="is_router">
  <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.YangType" String="empty"/>
</Property>
```

#### 6.4.4.2 Enumeration type

From RFC 6020, the enumeration built-in type represents values from a set of assigned names.

The enumeration type will be mapped to Odata EnumType.

The YANG code for the enumeration type from RFC 6991 (Common YANG Types) is shown below.

```yang
typedef ip-version {
  type enumeration {
    enum unknown {
      value "0";
      description "An unknown or unspecified version of the Internet protocol.";
    }
    enum ipv4 {
      value "1";
      description "The IPv4 protocol as defined in RFC 791.";
    }
    enum ipv6 {
      value "2";
      description "The IPv6 protocol as defined in RFC 2460.";
    }
  }
}
```

The resultant Redfish CSDL is shown below. (system example)

```xml
<EnumType Name="association_typeEnumeration">
  <Member Name="server">
  </Member>
</EnumType>
```
From RFC6020, the identityref type is used to reference an existing identity.

The "base" statement, which is a substatement to the "type" statement, MUST be present if the type is "identityref". The argument is the name of an identity, as defined by an "identity" statement.

The YANG code from RFC7223

```
leaf type {
  type identityref {
    base interface-type;
  }
  mandatory true;
  description "...";
  reference "RFC 2863: The Interfaces Group MIB - ifType";
}
```

### 6.4.4.3 Leafref type

From RFC6020, the leafref built-in type is used to reference a particular leaf instance in the data tree. The "path" sub-statement selects a set of leaf instances, and the leafref value space is the set of values of these leaf instances. The "path" statement MUST be present if the type is "leafref".

The value of Leaftype is set to the type of the Edm.Property for the leaf is the type of the leafref's target leaf node. Returns the value of another leaf.

The YANG code from RFC7223

```
typedef interface-state-ref {
  type leafref {
    path "/if:interfaces-state/if:interface/if:name";
  }
  description "This type is used by data models that need to reference the operationally present interfaces.";
}
```

```
leaf-list higher-layer-if {
  type interface-state-ref;
  description "A list of references to interfaces layered on top of this interface.";
  reference "RFC 2863: The Interfaces Group MIB - ifStackTable";
}
```
The resultant CSDL is shown below (path value is considered a opaque string, therefore the colons remain.

```xml
<Property Name="<name of the leaf with has the type specified by the leafref", Type="(derived by dereferencing the path and using the type of dereferenced target" >
   <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.YangType" String="leafref">
      <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.path" String="if:interfaces/if:interface/if:name"/>
   </Annotation>
</Property>
```

An example

```xml
<Property Name="higher-layer-if", Type="string" >
   <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.YangType" String="leafref">
      <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.path" String="if:interfaces/if:interface/if:name"/>
   </Annotation>
</Property>
```

6.4.4.4 Union type

From RFC6020, the union built-in type represents a value that corresponds to one of its member types.

A member type can be of any built-in or derived type, except it MUST NOT be one of the built-in types "empty" or "leafref".

For example:

```python
type union {
    type int32;
    type enumeration {
        enum "unbounded";
    }
}
```

6.4.4.4.1 Mockup

The JSON payload would include an @odata.type annotation to specify the type of the actual IPAddress:

```json
{
    ...
    "IPAddress": ".",
    "IPAddress@odata.type": "#IP.IPV4_no_zone"
}
```

6.4.4.4.2 Mapping YANG code to Redfish CSDL

The union statement can be mapped two ways in CSDL.

One option is that the IPAddress property can be annotated with a Redfish.Yang.Union annotation, which specifies the possible values within a collection.

```xml
<Property Name="IPAddress" Type="Edm.Primitive">
   <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.Union">
      <Collection>
         <String>"IPV4_no_zone"</String>
         <String>"IPV6_no_zone"</String>
      </Collection>
      <Annotation>
      </Annotation>
   </Annotation>
</Property>
```
The Redfish.Yang.Union annotation is specified elsewhere, as a collection type.

```xml
<Term Name="Union" Type="Collection(String)">
  <Annotation Term="OData.Description" String=""/>
</Term>
```

Another option is that the IPAddress property specifies a property type definition for the union.

```xml
<Property Name="IPAddress" Type="IP.ip_no_zone"/>
```

The type definition declares that ip_no_zone has an underlying type of "Edm.Primitive and specifies the possible types.

```xml
<TypeDefinition Name="ip_no_zone" UnderlyingType="Edm.Primitive">
  <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.Union">
    <Collection>
      <String>"IP.IPV4_no_zone"</String>
      <String>"IP.IPV6_no_zone"</String>
    </Collection>
  </Annotation>
</TypeDefinition>
```

### 6.5 Container statement

From RFC6020, the "container" statement is used to define an interior data node in the schema tree. It takes one argument, which is an identifier, followed by a block of sub-statements that holds detailed container information.

A container node does not have a value, but it has a list of child nodes in the data tree. The child nodes are defined in the container's sub-statements.

YANG supports two styles of containers, those that exist only for organizing the hierarchy of data nodes, and those whose presence in the configuration has an explicit meaning.

#### 6.5.1 Mapping the YANG depiction to Redfish mockup

The YANG *container* is depicted as shown below.

```plaintext
+++[container-name]
```  

The resultant Redfish construct is a singleton resource

```plaintext
./NetworkDevices/{id}/[module-name]/[container-name]
```

A mockup of the "relay" resource is shown below. It contains navigation links for the containers contained by "relay".

```json
{
  "@Redfish.Copyright": "",
  "@odata.context": "/redfish/v1/SmetaData#NetworkDevices/Member/ietf_dhcp/relay/$entity",
  "@odata.type": "#relay.1.0.0.relay",
  "@odata.id": "/redfish/v1/NetworkDevices/SW_15/ietf_dhcp/relay",
  "Id": "relay",
  "Name": "DHCP Relay Service",
}
6.5.2 Mapping YANG code to Redfish CSDL

The YANG code for the "relay" container statement from DHCP is shown below.

```
container relay {
    container dhcpRelayIfCfgs {
        . . .
    }
    Container dhcpRelayServerGroups {
        . . .
    }
    Container dhcpRelayStatistics {
        . . .
    }
}
```

The resultant CSDL fragment for relay container statement is shown below. There is a Navigation property for each sub-container.

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<edmx:Edmx xmlns:edmx="http://docs.oasis-open.org/odata/ns/edmx" Version="4.0">
        <edmx:Include Namespace="Org.OData.Core.V1" Alias="OData" />
    </edmx:Reference>
    <edmx:Reference Uri="http://redfish.dmtf.org/schemas/v1/Resource.xml">
        <edmx:Include Namespace="Resource.1.0.0" />
    </edmx:Reference>
    <edmx:DataServices>
        <Schema Namespace="relay" xmlns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/odata/ns/edm">
            <EntityType Name="relay" BaseType="Resource.1.0.0.Resource">
                <Annotation Term="OData.Description" String="" />
                <Annotation Term="OData.AdditionalProperties" Bool="false" />
            </EntityType>
        </Schema>
        <Schema Namespace="relay.1.0.0" xmlns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/odata/ns/edm">
            <EntityType Name="relay" BaseType="relay.relay">
                <Annotation Term="OData.Description" String="" />
                <Annotation Term="OData.AdditionalProperties" Bool="false" />
                <NavigationProperty Name="dhcpRelayIfCfgs" Type="dhcpRelayIfCfgsCollection.dhcpRelayIfCfgsCollection">
                    ContainsTarget="true" />
                    <Annotation Term="OData.Permissions" EnumMember="OData.Permissions/Read" />
                    <Annotation Term="OData.Description" String="" />
                    <Annotation Term="OData.LongDescription" String="" />
                    Annotation Term="OData.AutoExpandReferences" />
                </NavigationProperty>
                <NavigationProperty Name="dhcpRelayServerGroups" Type="dhcpRelayServerGroupsCollection.dhcpRelayServerGroupsCollection">
```

```
Table 9 shows the mapping of the `container` statement's sub-statements.

### Table 9 – Container statement mapping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Mapping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>container</td>
<td>Recursion. See this clause.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>list</td>
<td>See clause 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf</td>
<td>See clause 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf-list</td>
<td>See clause 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>presence</td>
<td><code>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.presence&quot; String=&quot;text from presence statement&quot;/&gt;</code></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| must      | `<Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.must" String="the XPath string from the yang statement"/>`
|          | `<Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.error_message" String="Text from error-message statement"/>`
|          | `<Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.error_app_tag" String="Text from error-app-tag statement"/>`
|          | `<Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.description" String="Text from description statement"/>`
|          | `<Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.reference" String="Text from reference statement"/>`
| when      | See clause 6.27 |
| config    | See clause 6.22 |
| if-feature| See clause 6.20 |
| description| See clause 6.25 |
| reference | See clause 6.26 |
| status    | See clause 6.24 |
| typedef   | See clause 6.2.1 |
| choice    | See clause 6.9 |
| grouping  | See clause 6.11 |
| uses      | See clause 6.12 |
| anyxml    | See clause 6.10 |
6.6 Leaf statement

From RFC6020, the "leaf" statement is used to define a leaf node in the schema tree. It takes one argument, which is an identifier, followed by a block of sub-statements that holds detailed leaf information.

The leaf statement is mapped to a JSON property.

6.6.1 Mapping YANG depiction to Redfish mockup

The YANG depiction of the leaf statement is shown below.

```
+--[permission] [leaf-name] [leaf-type]
|   +--rw serverGroupName string (DHCP example)
```

The resultant Redfish is a JSON property within a resource mockup.

```
[leaf-name]: "[value]"
```

```
"serverGroupName": "webservers" (DHCP example)
```

6.6.2 Mapping YANG code to Redfish CSDL

The YANG code for a leaf statement is shown below.

```
leaf clientRequestCount {
    description "Client Request Count";
    type uint32;
    config "false";
}
```

The resultant CSDL fragment for the JSON properties is shown below.

```
<Property Name="clientRequestCount" Type="Redfish.Yang.uint32">
    <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.YangType" String="uint32"/>
    ...
</Property>
```

Table 10 shows the mapping of the leaf statement's sub-statements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Mapping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>type</td>
<td>See clause 6.3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>units</td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.units&quot; String=&quot;[text from units statement]&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>default</td>
<td>See clause 6.3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mandatory</td>
<td>One of &lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.mandatory&quot; EnumMember=&quot;Redfish.Yang.Mandatory/false&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.mandatory&quot; EnumMember=&quot;Redfish.Yang.Mandatory/true&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>must</td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.must&quot; String=&quot;the XPath string from the yang statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.error_message&quot; String=&quot;Text from error-message statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.error_app_tag&quot; String=&quot;Text from error-app-tag statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.description&quot; String=&quot;Text from description statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.reference&quot; String=&quot;Text from reference statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;/Annotation&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 6.7 Leaf-list statement

The "leaf-list" statement is used to define an array of a particular type. The "leaf-list" statement takes one argument, which is an identifier, followed by a block of sub-statements that holds detailed leaf-list information.

The leaf-list statement is mapped to JSON property array which the mockup.

#### 6.7.1 Mapping YANG depiction to Redfish mockup

The YANG leaf-list statement is depicted is shown below. The depiction is identical to the depiction of a leaf statement. One needs to consult the YANG code to view the statement.

```python
++rw serverAddress inet:ipv4-address  (DHCP example)
```

The resultant Redfish construct is a JSON array property within the resource mockup.

```json
"[leaf-list-name]": [
 "[value 1]",
 "[value 2]
...
]
"serverAddress": [
 "[ip address 1]",
 "[ip address 2]"
]
```

#### 6.7.2 Mapping YANG code to Redfish CSDL

The YANG code from leaf-list statement of DHCP is shown below. (with

```python
leaf-list serverAddress {
    description "DHCP relay destination server IP address";
    type inet:ipv4-address;
    config "true";
}
```

The resultant CSDL fragment for the JSON properties is shown below.

```xml
<Property Name="serverAddress" Type="Collection(Yang.inet:ipv4-address)">
    <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.YangType" String="inet:ipv4-address" />
</Property>
```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Mapping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>type</td>
<td>See clause 6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>units</td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.units&quot; String=&quot;Text from units statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| max-elements | <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.max_elements" Redfish.Yang.uint64=max_elements/>
| min-elements | <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.min_elements" Redfish.Yang.uint64=min_elements/>
| ordered-by | <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.ordered_by" EnumMember="Redfish.Yang.ConfigPermission/false"/> |
| must      | <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.must" String="the XPath sting from the yang statement"/>
|           | <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.error_message" String="Text from error-message statement"/> |
|           | <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.error_app_tag" String="Text from error-app-tag statement"/> |
|           | <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.description" String="Text from description statement"/> |
|           | <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.reference" String="Text from reference statement"/> |
| config    | See clause 6.22 |
| If-feature | See clause 6.20 |
| description | See clause 6.25 |
| reference | See clause 6.26 |
| status    | See clause 6.24 |
| when      | See clause 6.27 |

### 6.8 List statement

A list defines a sequence of list entries. Each entry is like a structure or a record instance, and is uniquely identified by the values of its key leaves. A list can define multiple key leaves and may contain any number of child nodes of any type (including leaves, lists, containers, etc.)

The list statement is mapped to a Redfish collection resource and its member resource.

#### 6.8.1 Mapping YANG depiction to Redfish mockup

The YANG depiction of the list statement is shown below.

```plaintext
  +--rw [list-name]
      |  +--rw [list-member-name]* [[name-of-member]]
      |  +--rw [name-of-member] string
      . . .
  +--rw dhcpRelayIfCfgs (DHCP example)
      |  +--rw dhcpRelayIfCfg* [ifName]
      |  +--rw ifName string
      . . .
```

---

Table 11 – Leaf-list statement mapping
The resultant Redfish is a collection resource and singleton resources. The value of the "ifName" leaf statement is used at the name of the member of the collection.

A mockup of the "dhcpRelayIfCfgs" collection resource is shown below.

```
{
  ...
  "@odata.id": "/redfish/v1/NetworkDevices/SW_15/ietf_dhcp/relay/dhcpRelayIfCfgs",
  "Name": "Collection of interface configurations for DHCP relay service",
  "Members@odata.count": 1,
  "Members": [
    "%@odata.id": "/redfish/v1/NetworkDevices/SW_15/ietf_dhcp/relay/dhcpRelayIfCfgs/IF_foo"
  ]
}
```

A mockup of the 'IF_foo' singleton dhcpRelayIfCfg resource is show below.

```
{
  ...
  "Id": "IF_foo",
  "Name": "Interface configuration for a DHCP relay service",
  "ifName": "IF_foo",
  "enable": "TRUE",
  "serverAddress": "192.168.1.10",
  ...
}
```

### 6.8.2 Mapping YANG code to Redfish CSDL

The YANG code for the `list` statement from the DHCP is shown below.

```yang
define list dhcpRelayIfCfg {
  key "ifName";
  leaf ifName {
    description "Specify the interface name that dhcp relay configured on";
    type "string";
    config "true";
  }
  ...
}
```

The CSDL for the collection resource is shown below.

```xml
<edm:Edmx xmlns:edm="http://docs.oasis-open.org/odata/ns/edm" Version="4.0">
  ...
  <edm:Reference Uri="http://redfish.dmtf.org/schemas/v1/Namespace="dhcpRelayIfCfg.xml">
    <edm:Include Namespace="dhcpRelayIfCfg.xml"/>
  </edm:Reference>

  <edm:DataServices>
    <Schema Namespace="Namespace="dhcpRelayIfCfgsCollection"
      xmlns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/odata/ns/edm">
      <EntityType Name="dhcpRelayIfCfgsCollection">
        <NavigationProperty Name="Members">
          <BaseType "Resource.1.0.0.ResourceCollection">
            <NavigationProperty Name="Members">
              <Type "Collection(dhcpRelayIfCfg.dhcpRelayIfCfg)">
```

---
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Table 12 shows the mapping of the *list* statement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Mapping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>container</td>
<td>See clause 6.4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>list</td>
<td>See clause 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf</td>
<td>See clause 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf-list</td>
<td>See clause 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>key</td>
<td>See clause 6.8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max-elements</td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.max_elements&quot; Redfish.Yang.uint64=max_elements/&gt;/true”/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min-elements</td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.max_elements&quot; Redfish.Yang.uint64=min_elements/&gt;/true”/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ordered-by</td>
<td>One of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>must</td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.must&quot; String=&quot;text from the XPath statement”&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>config</td>
<td>See clause 6.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>if-feature</td>
<td>See clause 6.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>description</td>
<td>See clause 6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference</td>
<td>See clause 6.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>status</td>
<td>See clause 6.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>typedef</td>
<td>See clause 6.2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>choice</td>
<td>See clause 6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grouping</td>
<td>See clause 6.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uses</td>
<td>See clause 6.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anyxml</td>
<td>See clause 6.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unique</td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.unique&quot; String=&quot;text from unique statement”/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>when</td>
<td>See clause 6.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.8.3 Key statement

From RFC6020, the “key” statement, which MUST be present if the list represents configuration, and MAY be present otherwise, takes as an argument a string that specifies a space-separated list of leaf identifiers of this list. A leaf identifier MUST NOT appear more than once in the key. Each such leaf identifier MUST refer to a child leaf of the list. The leaves can be defined directly in sub-statements to the list, or in groupings used in the list.

The combined values of all the leaves specified in the key are used to uniquely identify a list entry.

The key statement value is a space separated sting of leaf names. Typically there will be only one key token in the key string but there are a couple cases of 2 or more keys.

The following is a key sub-statement from DHCP

```
<EntityType Name="dhcpRelayIfCfgs">
  <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.NodeType" EnumMember ="Redfish.Yang.NodeTypes/list"/>
  . . .
  <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.key" String=" the yang key string"/>
  <Key>
    <PropertyRef Name="ifName"/>
  </Key>
  . . .
</EntityType>
```

The set of names constitutes the key for this list. The ithKeyName corresponds to the ith string token in the key string. We add annotations containing the original yang information in addition to the actual translation to make understanding the translated schema clearer.

```
<EntityType Name="theListName">
  <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.NodeType" EnumMember ="Redfish.Yang.NodeTypes/list"/>
  . . .
  <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.key" String=" the yang key string"/>
  <Key>
    <PropertyRef Name="firstKeyName"/>
    . . .
    <PropertyRef Name="ithKeyName"/>
    . . .
    <PropertyRef Name="lastKeyName"/>
  </Key>
  . . .
  <Property Name="firstLeafName" Type="translatedLeafType">
    <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.YangType" String="theOriginalYangType"/>
  </Property>
  <Property Name="nthLeafName" Type="translatedLeafType">
    <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.YangType" String="theOriginalYangType"/>
  </Property>
  <Property Name="lastLeafName" Type="translatedLeafType">
    <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.YangType" String="theOriginalYangType"/>
  </Property>
  . . .
</EntityType>
```

6.9 Choice statement

From RFC6020, the “choice” statement defines a set of alternatives, only one of which may exist at any one time. The argument is an identifier, followed by a block of sub-statements that holds detailed choice
information. The identifier is used to identify the choice node in the schema tree. A choice node does not exist in the data tree.

A choice consists of a number of branches, defined with the "case" sub-statement. Each branch contains a number of child nodes. The nodes from at most one of the choice’s branches exist at the same time. 

The choice statement maps to a Redfish collection resource and the key maps to members of the collection. 

The choice statement maps to annotations for the choice and each of the cases with the containing resource. The positioning shall correspond to the position of the element within the case statement.

The choice annotation will have as children the translated annotations for the directly dependent non-node YANG statements of the choice plus case statements of the choice plus a <Redfish.Yang.NodeName < Redfish.Yang.NodeType > />statement hierarchy for each node.

Put all node elements from all cases directly in the parent node and annotate each one individually with a "choice annotation and a case annotation" s.

Create Annotations to represent the choice/case structure. Create an Annotation for the choice itself in the context of its parent container. The choice annotation will have as children the translated annotations for the directly dependent non-node yang statements of the choice plus case statements of the choice plus a <Redfish.Yang.NodeName < Redfish.Yang.NodeType > />statement hierarchy for each node.

The nodes themselves will otherwise be translated in the context of the parent node of the choice statement as direct properties of the parent node plus EntityType objects as needed to translate list and container.

6.9.1 Mapping the YANG depiction to Redfish mockup

The YANG choice depiction from RFC7317 is shown below. For "timezone", there is choice between "timezone-name" and "timezone-utc-offset".

```
  +--ro (timezone)?
  +--: (timezone-name)
     | +--ro timezone-name?  string
     +--: (timezone-utc-offset)
     | +--ro timezone-utc-offset?  int16
```

The possible resultant Redfish mockups are the shown below.

```
{  
  "timezone-name": "Europe/Stockholm",
}
```

```
{  
  "timezone-utc-offset": "3",
}
```

6.9.2 Translating the YANG depiction to Redfish mockup

The YANG code from RFC7317 is shown below.

```
container clock {
  description "Configuration of the system date and time properties.";

  choice timezone {
    description "The system time zone information.";

    case timezone-name {
      if-feature timezone-name;
      leaf timezone-name {
        type timezone-name;
      }
    }
  }
}
```
The resultant CSDL fragment for the DHCP service is shown below.

<EntityType Name = "clock">
  <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.description" String="Configuration of the system date and time properties."/>
  <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.choice" String="timezone">
    <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.description" String="The system time zone information."/>
    <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.case" String="timezone-name">
      <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.if-feature" String="timezone-name"/>
      <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.NodeName" String="timezone-name" >
      </Annotation>
    </Annotation>
    <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.case" String="timezone-utc-offset">
      <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.NodeName" String="timezone-utc-offset" >
      </Annotation>
    </Annotation>
  </Annotation>

  <Property Name = "timezone_name" Type = "timezone_name">
    <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.YangType" String="timezone-name"/>
    <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.description" String="The TZ database name to use for the system, such as 'Europe/Stockholm'."/>
  </Property>

  <Property Name = "timezone_utc_offset" Type = "int16">
    <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.YangType" String="int16"/>
    <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.Range" String="-1500 .. 1500"/>
  </Property>
</EntityType>

Table 13 shows the mapping of the choice statement's sub-statements.
Table 13 – Choice statement mapping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Mapping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>anyxml</td>
<td>See clause 6.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case</td>
<td>See clause 6.9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>choice</td>
<td>See clause 6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>container</td>
<td>See clause 6.4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>default</td>
<td><code>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.default&quot; String=&quot;the_yang_default_string&quot;/&gt;</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>description</td>
<td>See clause 6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>if-feature</td>
<td>See clause 6.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf</td>
<td>See clause 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf-list</td>
<td>See clause 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>list</td>
<td>See clause 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference</td>
<td>See clause 6.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>status</td>
<td>See clause 6.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>when</td>
<td>See clause 6.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.9.3 Case

From RFC6020, the "case" statement is used to define branches of the choice. It takes as an argument an identifier, followed by a block of sub-statements that holds detailed case information.

The identifier is used to identify the case node in the schema tree. A case node does not exist in the data tree.

See clause 6.9 for the mapping details.

### 6.10 Anyxml statement

From RFC6020, The "anyxml" statement defines an interior node in the schema tree. It takes one argument, which is an identifier, followed by a block of sub-statements that holds detailed anyxml information.

The "anyxml" statement is used to represent an unknown chunk of XML. No restrictions are placed on the XML.

The anyxml statement is mapped to an annotation within its parent container and parent annotation.

### 6.10.1 Mapping YANG depiction to Redfish mockup

An example of a YANG depiction of the anyxml statement has not been found.
6.10.2 Mapping YANG code to Redfish CSDL

The YANG code from anyxml statement from RFC6020 is shown below.

```yang
anyxml data;
```

The resultant CSDL is shown below.

```xml
<Term Name="IsXml" Type="Edm.Boolean" Default="True">
  <Annotation Term="OData.Description" String="The string type contains XML"/>
</Term>
<TypeDefinition Name="XmlBlock" UnderlyingType="Edm.String">
  <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.IsXml"/>
</TypeDefinition>
<Property Name="myProperty" Type="Redfish.Yang.XmlBlock">
  [text from anyxml statement]
</Property>
```

Where "myProperty" is a unique name synthesized by appending a number to the string "Anyxml_".

Table 14 shows the mapping of the anyxml statement's sub-statements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Mapping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>config</td>
<td>See clause 6.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>description</td>
<td>See clause 6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>if-feature</td>
<td>See clause 6.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mandatory</td>
<td>One of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.mandatory&quot; EnumMember=&quot;Redfish.Yang.Mandatory/false&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.mandatory&quot; EnumMember=&quot;Redfish.Yang.Mandatory/true&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>must</td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.must&quot; String=&quot;the XPath sting from the yang statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.error_message&quot; String=&quot;text from error-message statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.error_app_tag&quot; String=&quot;text from error-app-tag statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.description&quot; String=&quot;text from description statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.reference&quot; String=&quot;text from reference statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference</td>
<td>See clause 6.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>status</td>
<td>See clause 6.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>when</td>
<td>See clause 6.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.11 Grouping statement

From RFC6020, the "grouping" statement is used to define a reusable block of nodes, which may be used locally in the module, in modules that include it, and by other modules that import from it. It takes one argument, which is an identifier, followed by a block of sub-statements that holds detailed grouping information.

The "grouping" statement is not a data definition statement and, as such, does not define any nodes in the schema tree. A grouping is like a "structure" or a "record" in conventional programming languages.
The grouping and uses statement should be handled and resolved prior to mapping the YANG to CSDL. Since the grouping statement does not define a node in the schema tree, there is no YANG depiction. The YANG code for the grouping statement from inet-types is shown below.

```yaml
import ietf-inet-types {
  prefix "inet";
}

grouping endpoint {
  description "A reusable endpoint group.";
  leaf ip {
    type inet:ip-address;
  }
  leaf port {
    type inet:port-number;
  }
}
```

Table 15 shows the mapping of the grouping statement's sub-statements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Mapping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>choice</td>
<td>See clause 6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>container</td>
<td>See clause 6.4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>description</td>
<td>See clause 6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf</td>
<td>See clause 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf-list</td>
<td>See clause 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>list</td>
<td>See clause 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference</td>
<td>See clause 6.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>status</td>
<td>See clause 6.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>typedef</td>
<td>See clause 6.2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uses</td>
<td>See clause 6.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.12 Uses statement

From RFC6020, the "uses" statement is used to reference a "grouping" definition. It takes one argument, which is the name of the grouping.

The grouping and uses statement should be handled and resolved prior to mapping the YANG to CSDL. Since the grouping statement does not define a node in the schema tree, there is no YANG depiction. The YANG code shown below, uses the "endpoint" grouping defined in clause 6.11 in a definition of an HTTP server in some other module.

```yaml
import acme-system {
  prefix "acme";
}

container http-server {
  leaf name {
    type string;
  }
  uses acme:endpoint;
}
```

Table 16 shows the mapping of the uses statement's sub-statements.
Table 16 – Uses statement mapping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Mapping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>augment</td>
<td>See clause 6.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>description</td>
<td>See clause 6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>if-feature</td>
<td>See clause 6.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>refine</td>
<td>See clause 6.12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference</td>
<td>See clause 6.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>status</td>
<td>See clause 6.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>when</td>
<td>See clause 6.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.12.1 Refine statement

From RFC6020, some of the properties of each node in the grouping can be refined with the "refine" statement. The argument is a string that identifies a node in the grouping. This node is called the refine’s target node.

The preprocessor should which resolves to uses statement should also resolve the refine statement.

In the above example, if port 80 should be the default for the HTTP server, default can be added as a refinement.

```plaintext
container http-server {  
    leaf name {  
        type string;  
    }  
    uses acme:endpoint {  
        refine port {  
            default 80;  
        }  
    }  
}
```

### 6.13 Rpc statement

From RFC6020, the "rpc" statement is used to define a NETCONF RPC operation. It takes one argument, which is an identifier, followed by a block of sub-statements that holds detailed rpc information.

The rpc statement is mapped to a CSDL Action. The NETCONF RPC semantics are replaced by the Redfish action semantics. Note, parameters can be complex

### 6.13.1 Mapping YANG code to Redfish CSDL

From the purpose of illustration, an XML instance example for rpc is shown below, from RFC6020.

```plaintext
<rpc message-id="101" xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">  
    <rock-the-house xmlns="http://example.net/rock">  
        <zip-code>27606-0100</zip-code>  
    </rock-the-house>  
</rpc>
```
The YANG code for the above rpc example is shown below.

```
rpc rock-the-house {
    input {
        leaf zip-code {
            type string;
        }
    }
}
```

The resultant CSDL fragment is shown below.

```
<Action Name="rock-the-house" IsBound="true">
</Action>

<ComplexType Name="rock_the_houseInputType">
  <Property Name="zip_code" Type="Edm.String"/>
</ComplexType>
```

Table 17 shows the mapping of the rpc statement's sub-statements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YANG</th>
<th>Redfish JSON and CSDL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>description</td>
<td>See clause 6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grouping</td>
<td>See clause 6.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>if-feature</td>
<td>See clause 6.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>input</td>
<td>See clause 6.13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>output</td>
<td>See clause 6.13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference</td>
<td>See clause 6.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>status</td>
<td>See clause 6.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>typedef</td>
<td>See clause 6.2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.13.2 Input statement

See clause 6.13.1, which includes the `input` statement in the discussion.

The value of Name attribute is synthesized by appending the string "Input" to the value of the rpc statement. The value of the Type attribute is synthesized by appending the string "InputType" to the value of the rpc statement.

The "input type" shall be declared as a ComplexType.

### 6.13.3 Output statement

See clause 6.13.1, which includes the `output` statement in the discussion.

The value of Name attribute is synthesized by appending the string "Output" to the value of the rpc statement. The value of the Type attribute is synthesized by appending the string "OutputType" to the value of the rpc statement.
The "output type" shall be declared as a ComplexType.

```yang
rpc rock-the-house {
  input {
    leaf zip-code {
      type string;
    }
  }
  output {
    leaf volume {
      type int16;
    }
  }
}
```

The value of Name attribute is synthesized by appending the string "Output" to the value of the output statement.

```xml
<Action Name="rock-the-house" IsBound="true">
  ...
  <ReturnType Name="rock_the_houseOutput" Type="rock_the_houseOutputType">
  </ReturnType>
</Action>

<ComplexType Name="rock_the_houseOutputType">
  <Property Name="volume" Type="Edm.int16"/>
</ComplexType>
```

### 6.14 Notification statement

From RFC6020, the "notification" statement is used to define a NETCONF notification. It takes one argument, which is an identifier, followed by a block of sub-statements that holds detailed notification information.

The notification statement is mapped to an EntityType.

#### 6.14.1 Mapping YANG code to Redfish CSDL

From the purpose of illustration, an XML instance example of a notification is shown below, from RFC6020.

```xml
<notification
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:notification:1.0"
  xmlns="http://example.com/event"
  <event-class>fault</event-class>
  <reporting-entity>
    <card>Ethernet0</card>
  </reporting-entity>
  <severity>major</severity>
</event>
```

```xml
<notification>
  <eventTime>2008-07-08T00:01:00Z</eventTime>
  <event xml="http://example.com/event">
    <event-class>fault</event-class>
    <reporting-entity>
      <card>Ethernet0</card>
    </reporting-entity>
    <severity>major</severity>
  </event>
</notification>
```
The YANG code for the above notification example is shown below.

```yang
notification event {
    leaf event-class {
        type string;
    }
    anyxml reporting-entity;
    leaf severity {
        type string;
    }
}
```

The resultant CSDL fragment is shown below.

```csharp
<EntityType Name="event" BaseType="Resource.1.0.0.Resource">
    <Annotation Term="OData.Description" String=""/>
    <Annotation Term="OData.AdditionalProperties" Bool="false"/>
    <Property Name="event-class", Type="edm:String">
        <Annotation Term="OData.Permissions" EnumMember="OData.Permissions/Read"/>
        <Annotation Term="OData.Description" String=""/>
        <Annotation Term="OData.LongDescription" String=""/>
    </Property>
    <Property Name="severity", Type="edm:String">
        <Annotation Term="OData.Permissions" EnumMember="OData.Permissions/Read"/>
        <Annotation Term="OData.Description" String=""/>
        <Annotation Term="OData.LongDescription" String=""/>
    </Property>
    <Property Name="reporting-entity", Type="edm:String">
        <Annotation Term="OData.Permissions" EnumMember="OData.Permissions/Read"/>
        <Annotation Term="OData.Description" String=""/>
        <Annotation Term="OData.LongDescription" String=""/>
    </Property>
</EntityType>
```

Table 18 shows the mapping of the `notification` statement's sub-statements.

**Table 18 – Notification statement mapping**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YANG</th>
<th>Redfish JSON and CSDL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>anyxml</td>
<td>See clause 6.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>choice</td>
<td>See clause 6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>description</td>
<td>See clause 6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grouping</td>
<td>See clause 6.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>if-feature</td>
<td>See clause 6.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf</td>
<td>See clause 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf-list</td>
<td>See clause 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>list</td>
<td>See clause 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference</td>
<td>See clause 6.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>status</td>
<td>See clause 6.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>typedef</td>
<td>See clause 6.2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uses</td>
<td>See clause 6.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.15 Augment statement

From RFC6020, the "augment" statement allows a module or submodule to add to the schema tree defined in an external module, or the current module and its submodules, and to add to the nodes from a grouping in a "uses" statement. The argument is a string that identifies a node in the schema tree.

The augment statement is treated as a pre-processor directive. The resulting CSDL contains the superset of augmentations, and also an annotation which indications what was augmented.

For example, the following examples show the augment statement, which augments the interfaces container.

The following is an augment statement for an "interfaces" container.

```
container interfaces {
    list ifEntry {
        key "ifIndex";

        leaf ifIndex {
            type uint32;
        }

        leaf ifDescr {
            type string;
        }

        leaf ifType {
            type iana:IfType;
        }

        leaf ifMtu {
            type int32;
        }
    }
}
```

The following is an augment statement that augments the ifEntry list statement. In example, there is a conditional when statement associated with the augment.

```
import interface-module {
    prefix "if";
}

augment "/if:interfaces/if:ifEntry" {
    when "if:ifType='ds0'";
    leaf ds0ChannelNumber {
        type ChannelNumber;
    }
}
```

The resultant CSDL is shown below. Note if the augment statement adds more than one entry, then the CSDL for each entry contains the augment annotation and conditional annotation.

```
</Property>
<Property Name="ds0ChannelNumber" Type="Redfish.Yang.int32">
    <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.YangType" String="int32"/>
</Property>
```

Table 19 shows the mapping of the augment statement and its sub-statements.
Table 19 – Augment statement mapping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YANG</th>
<th>Redfish JSON and CSDL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>case</td>
<td>See clause 6.9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>choice</td>
<td>See clause 6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>description</td>
<td>See clause 6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>if-feature</td>
<td>See clause 6.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf</td>
<td>See clause 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leaf-list</td>
<td>See clause 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>list</td>
<td>See clause 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference</td>
<td>See clause 6.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>status</td>
<td>See clause 6.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uses</td>
<td>See clause 6.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>when</td>
<td>See clause 6.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.16 Identity statement

From RFC6020, the “identity” statement is used to define a new globally unique, abstract, and untyped identity. Its only purpose is to denote its name, semantics, and existence. An identity can either be defined from scratch or derived from a base identity.

There is no YANG depiction of an identity statement.

The identity statement is mapped to a complex annotation.

Identity results in ComplexType (see system RFC)

#### 6.16.1 Mapping YANG code to Redfish CSDL

The general identity statement is shown below.

```yang
identity [identity value]
```

The resultant CSDL is shown below.

```xml
<ComplexType Name="[identity value]">
  <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.identity" String="[identity value]"/>
  <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.description" String=". . ."/>
</ComplexType>
```

The identity statement from RFC7317 is shown below.

```yang
identity authentication-method {
  description "Base identity for user authentication methods.";
}
```

The resultant CSDL is shown below.

```xml
<ComplexType Name="authentication_method">
  <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.identity" String="authentication-method"/>
  <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.description" String="Base identity for user authentication methods."/>
</ComplexType>
```

Table 20 shows the mapping of the identity statement's sub-statements.
Table 20 – Identity statement mapping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Mapping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>base</td>
<td>baseType = &quot;the base identity string&quot;. See clause 6.16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.base&quot; String=&quot;text from the yang description statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>description</td>
<td>See clause 6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference</td>
<td>See clause 6.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>status</td>
<td>See clause 6.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.16.2 Base statement

The "base" statement is optional and takes as an argument a string that is the name of an existing identity, from which the new identity is derived. If no "base" statement is present, the identity is defined from scratch.

See clause 6.16.1 for the mapping.

6.17 Extension statement

From RFC6020, the "extension" statement allows the definition of new statements within the YANG language. This new statement definition can be imported and used by other modules.

The extension statement is mapped to an annotation. The extended statement is placed in a Redfish.Yang.statement annotation, in which the string attribute contains the entire YANG statement:

<Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.extension" String="[text from extension statement]"/>

The general extension statement is shown below. Note that the extended statement, along with its value, is also shown.

Extension [extended statement] {
    argument [argument value]
}

The resultant CSDL is shown below. The extended statement and value are used in the Annotation for Redfish.Yang.statement.

<EntityType ...
    <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.extension" String="[extended statement]"/>
    <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.argument" String="[argument value]"/>
    <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.statement" String="[extended statement] [extended value]/">
</EntityType>

Example YANG code for the extension statement from the MPLS OpenConfig RFC is shown below.

extension openconfig-version {
    argument "semver" {
        yin-element false;
    }
}

openconfig-version 6;
The resultant Redfish CSDL is shown below.

```
<EntityType ...>
  <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.extension" String="openconfig-version">
    <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.argument" String="semver">
      <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.yin_element">
        EnumMember="Redfish.Yang.YinElement/false"/>
    </Annotation>
  </Annotation>
</EntityType>
```

Table 21 shows the mapping of the Extension statement's sub-statements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Mapping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>description</td>
<td>See clause 6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference</td>
<td>See clause 6.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>status</td>
<td>See clause 6.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.18 Argument statement

From RFC6020, the "argument" statement, which is optional, takes as an argument a string that is the name of the argument to the keyword.

The argument statement is mapped to the annotation, which is within the annotation of its parent statement.

```
<Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.argument" String="[value of argument statement]"/>
```

The YANG code for the argument statement from the MPLS OpenConfig RFC is shown below.

```
extension [extension value] {
  argument [argument value] {
    ...}
}
```

The resultant Redfish CSDL is shown below.

```
<Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.extension" String="[extension value]">
  <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.argument" String="[argument value]">
    ...</Annotation>
</Annotation>
```

Example YANG code for the argument statement from the MPLS OpenConfig RFC is shown below.

```
extension openconfig-version {
  argument "semver" {
    yin-element false;
  }
}
```

The resultant Redfish CSDL is shown below.

```
<Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.extension" String="openconfig-version">
  <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.argument" String="semver">
    <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.yin_element">
      EnumMember="Redfish.Yang.YinElement/false"/>
  </Annotation>
</Annotation>
```

Table 22 shows the mapping of the argument statement's sub-statements.
### Table 22 – Argument statement mapping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Mapping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>yin-element</td>
<td>One of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><code>&lt;Annotaton Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.yin_element&quot; EnumMember=&quot;Redfish.Yang.YinElement/false&quot;/&gt;</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><code>&lt;Annotaton Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.yin_element&quot; EnumMember=&quot;Redfish.Yang.YinElement/true&quot;/&gt;</code></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 6.19 Feature statement

From RFC6020, the “feature” statement is used to define a mechanism by which portions of the schema are marked as conditional. A feature name is defined that can later be referenced using the “if-feature” statement.

The feature statement is mapped to the annotation, within the scope of its parent statement.

```yin
<Annotaton Term="Redfish.Yang.feature" String="[value of feature statement]"/> 
```

The YANG code for the feature statement from RFC 7277 is shown below.

```yin
feature ipv4-non-contiguous-netmasks {
  description "Indicates support for configuring non-contiguous subnet masks.";
}
```

Table 23 shows the mapping of the Feature statement.

### Table 23 – Feature statement mapping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>YANG to Redfish JSON and CSDL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>if-feature</td>
<td>See clause 6.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>status</td>
<td>See clause 6.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference</td>
<td>See clause 6.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 6.20 If-feature statement

From RFC6020, the “if-feature” statement makes its parent statement conditional. The argument is the name of a feature, as defined by a “feature” statement.

The if-feature statement is mapped to the annotation, within the annotation of its parent statement.

```yin
<Annotaton Term="Redfish.Yang.if-feature" String="[value of if-feature statement]"/> 
```

The YANG code for the if-feature statement from RFC 7277 is shown below.

```yin
leaf create-temporary-addresses {
  if-feature ipv6-privacy-autoconf;
  type boolean;
  default false;
  description "If enabled, the host creates temporary addresses as described in RFC 4941.";
  reference "RFC 4941: Privacy Extensions for Stateless Address Autoconfiguration in IPv6";
}
```
The resultant Redfish CSDL is shown below.

```xml
<Property
   Name="create_temporary_address"
   Type="Redfish.Yang.boolean">
   <Annotation
      Term="Redfish.Yang.NodeType"
      EnumMember="Redfish.Yang.NodeTypes/leaf"/>
   <Annotation
      Term="Redfish.Yang.YangType"
      String="boolean"/>
   <Annotation
      Term="Redfish.Yang.if-feature"
      String="ipv6-privacy-autoconf"/>
   ...</Property>
```

There are no sub-statements specified for the \textit{if-feature} statement.

\textbf{6.21 Deviation statement}

From RFC6020, the "deviation" statement defines a hierarchy of a module that the device does not implement faithfully. The argument is a string that identifies the node in the schema tree where a deviation from the module occurs.

The \textit{deviation} statement is mapped to the annotation.

```xml
<Annotation
   Term="Redfish.Yang.deviation"
   String="[value of deviation statement]"/>
```

The YANG code for the \textit{deviation} statement is shown below.

```yml
module [module value] {
   deviation [deviation value] {
      deviate [deviate value] {
         ...}
   }
}
```

The resultant CSDL is show below.

```xml
<EntityType
   Name="[module value]"
   BaseType="Resource.v1_0_0.Resource">
   <Annotation
      Term="Redfish.Yang.NodeType"
      EnumMember="Redfish.Yang.NodeTypes/module"/>
   <Annotation
      Term="Redfish.Yang.deviation"
      String="[deviation value]"/>
   <Annotation
      Term="Redfish.Yang.deviate"
      String="[deviate value]"/>
   ...</EntityType>
```

The \textit{deviation} statement is shown below.

```yml
deviation /base:system/base:user/base:type {
   deviate add {
      default "admin"; // new users are 'admin' by default
   }
}
```

The resultant CSDL is show below.

```xml
<EntityType
   Name="<module value>"
   BaseType="Resource.v1_0_0.Resource">
   <Annotation
      Term="Redfish.Yang.NodeType"
      EnumMember="Redfish.Yang.NodeTypes/module"/>
   <Annotation
      Term="Redfish.Yang.deviation"
      String="/base:system/base:user/base:type"/>
   <Annotation
      Term="Redfish.Yang.deviate"
      String="add"/>
   ...</EntityType>
```

Table 24 shows the mapping of the \textit{deviation} statement's sub-statements.
Table 24 – Deviation statement mapping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Mapping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>description</td>
<td>See clause 6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deviate</td>
<td>See clause 6.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference</td>
<td>See clause 6.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.22 Deviate statement

From RFC6020, the "deviate" statement defines how the device's implementation of the target node deviates from its original definition. The argument is one of the strings "not-supported", "add", "replace", or "delete".

See clause 6.21 which shows the mapping of the deviate statement

Table 25 shows the mapping of the deviate statement's sub-statements.

Table 25 – Deviate statement mapping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Mapping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>config</td>
<td>See clause 6.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>default</td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.default&quot; String=&quot;text from default statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mandatory</td>
<td>One of &lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.mandatory&quot; EnumMember=&quot;Redfish.Yang.Mandatory/false&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.mandatory&quot; EnumMember=&quot;Redfish.Yang.Mandatory/true&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max-element</td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.max_elements&quot; Redfish.Yang.uint64=max_elements/&gt;/true&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min-element</td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.max_elements&quot; Redfish.Yang.uint64=min_elements/&gt;/true&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>must</td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.must&quot; String=&quot;the XPath string from the yang statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.error_message&quot; String=&quot;text from error-message statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.error_app_tag&quot; String=&quot;text from error-app-tag statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.description&quot; String=&quot;text from description statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.reference&quot; String=&quot;text from reference statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;/Annotation&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type</td>
<td>See clause 6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unique</td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.unique&quot; String=&quot;text from unique statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>units</td>
<td>&lt;Annotation Term=&quot;Redfish.Yang.units&quot; String=&quot;text from unit statement&quot;/&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.23 Config statement

From RFC6020, the "config" statement takes as an argument the string "true" or "false". If "config" is "true", the definition represents a configuration.

The config statement is mapped to one of two annotations.

The YANG code for a leaf statement is shown below.

```yang
leaf clientRequestCount {
    description "Client Request Count";
    type uint32;
    config "false";
}
```

The resultant CSDL fragment for the JSON properties is shown below.

```xml
<Property Name="clientRequestCount" Type="Redfish.Yang.uint32">
    <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.YangType" String="uint32"/>
    <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.config" EnumMember="Redfish.Yang.ConfigPermission/false"/>
</Property>
```

The config statement has no sub-statements.

### 6.24 Status statement

From RFC6020, the "status" statement takes as an argument one of the strings "current", "deprecated", or "obsolete".

The status statement is mapped to one of three annotations.

```xml
<Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.status" EnumMember="Redfish.Yang.NodeStatus/current"/>
<Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.status" EnumMember="Redfish.Yang.NodeStatus/deprecated"/>
<Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.status" EnumMember="Redfish.Yang.NodeStatus/obsolete"/>
```

The YANG code for a status statement from RFC 7224 is shown below

```yang
identity iso88023Csmacd {
    base iana-interface-type;
    status deprecated;
    description "…";
    reference "…";
}
```

The resultant CSDL fragment for the JSON properties is shown below.

```xml
<Property Name="iso88023Csmacd" Type="…">
    <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.status" String="deprecated"/>
</Property>
```

The status statement has no sub-statements.

### 6.25 Description statement

From RFC6020, the "description" statement takes as an argument a string that contains a human-readable textual description of this definition.

The description statement is mapped to the annotation.

```xml
<Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.description" String="[value of description statement]"/>
<Annotation Term="OData.Description" String="[value from description statement]"/>
```

The YANG code for a description statement from RFC 7224 is shown below

```yang
identity iso88023Csmacd {
    base iana-interface-type;
    status deprecated;
    description "Deprecated via RFC 3635. Use ethernetCsmacd(6) instead";
    reference "…";
}
```
The resultant CSDL fragment for the JSON properties is shown below.

```
<Property Name="iso88023Csmacd" Type="...">
  <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.description">
    String="Deprecated via RFC 3635. Use ethernetCsmacd(6) instead"/>
<Annotation Term="OData.Description">
    String="Deprecated via RFC 3635. Use ethernetCsmacd(6) instead"/>
  ...  
</Property>
```

The `description` statement has no sub-statements.

Note: The string for the LongDescription annotation is constructed from the `reference` statement. The construction adds normative text to the value of the `reference` statement, such as "The element shall ...".

### 6.26 Reference statement

The "reference" statement takes as an argument a string that is used to specify a textual cross-reference to an external document, either another module that defines related management information, or a document that provides additional information relevant to this definition.

The `reference` statement is mapped to an annotation.

```
<Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.reference" String="[value of reference statement]"/>
```

The YANG code for a `reference` statement from RFC 7224 is shown below

```
identity iso88023Csmacd {
  base iana-interface-type;
  status deprecated;
  description "...";
  reference "RFC 3635 - Definitions of Managed Objects for the Ethernet-like Interface Types";
}
```

The resultant CSDL fragment for the JSON properties is shown below.

```
<Property Name="iso88023Csmacd" Type="...">
  <Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.reference" String="RFC 3635 - Definitions of Managed Objects for the Ethernet-like Interface Types"/>
  ...  
</Property>
```

The `reference` statement has no sub-statements.

### 6.27 When statement

From RFC6020, the "when" statement makes its parent data definition statement conditional. The node defined by the parent data definition statement is only valid when the condition specified by the "when" statement is satisfied. The statement's argument is an XPath expression, which is used to formally specify this condition.

The `when` statement is mapped to the annotation.

```
<Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.when" String="[value of when statement]"/>
```

See clause 6.15 for an example of the YANG to CSDL mapping.

The `when` statement has no sub-statements.
6.28 Unmapped YANG statements

If YANG code is read which does not conform the statement format, then the following annotation should be added to the resultant CSDL. This will indicate that the original YANG file should be reviewed and the source of the "statement" annotation be found.

<Annotation Term="Redfish.Yang.statement" String="text from the yang statement"/>

An example of YANG code from RFC 7317, which may cause a "statement" annotation is shown below.

The nacm:default-deny-all line does not follow the statement format.

```yaml
rpc system-restart {
    nacm:default-deny-all;
    description
        "Request that the entire system be restarted immediately.
        A server SHOULD send an rpc reply to the client before restarting the system."
}
```
ANNEX A
(informative)
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