

Security Requirements for PMCI Standards and Protocols

Scope for Version 1.0 Release Last Updated: 9/26/2018

Copyright 2018 DMTF

Disclaimer

- The information in this presentation represents a snapshot of work in progress within the DMTF.
- This information is subject to change without notice. The standard specifications remain the normative reference for all information.
- For additional information, see the Distributed Management Task Force (DMTF) website.

Goals

- Create specification(s) to provide security for PMCI standards and protocols.
 - Prefer specification that targets the transport layer.
 - MCTP, NC-SI, PLDM, Redfish Device Enablement, Firmware Update, Monitoring and Control, NVMe-MI[™] Binding, etc...
 - www.dmtf.org/standards/PMCI
- Specification should be implementable on existing hardware designs.
 - Do not require changes to existing hardware/silicon.
- Referenceable by other industry standards organizations.
 - Examples: Security Project of Open Compute Project (OCP), PCI-SIG, Open Data Center Committee (ODCC), etc...
- Rapid Publication of Standard
 - Detail Architecture Release: October 2018
 - 0.9 Work-in-Progress Release: December 2018
 - Official 1.0 Release: Q1 2019

Requirement Categories

- Functional
- Trust
- Data Protection
- Cryptography
- Out of Scope
- Future Considerations

Functional Requirements

- Endpoint Authentication, Data Confidentiality and Integrity.
 - Security protocol(s) are endpoint-to-endpoint.
- Support Wide Ecosystem
 - Allow resource constrained environments (i.e. low CPU and memory requirements) to choose basic security measures.
 - Allow resource-rich environments to choose stronger security measures.

Support Layered Security

- Ensure compatible security methods across layers of PMCI standards and protocols.
- Should compliment security defined at other layers (i.e. such as the physical layer)

Interoperable

- Specify minimal set of capabilities and operations.
- Define mechanism for protocol endpoints to choose security parameters.

Trust Requirements

• Allows Trust to be determined.

- When requested, endpoint must provide identity.
- Support for X.509 certificate
- Does not exclude other forms of identity.
- Authentication Protocol based on existing art.
 - Example: USB-C authentication
- Define mechanism for passing firmware measurements.

Data Protection Requirements

- Use CIA Triad (Confidentiality, Integrity and Availability) as model for data protection
 - Perform a threat analysis/threat model.
- Allow design/implementation to dynamically choose which data to protect
- Define mechanism for Encryption and Integrity

Cryptography Requirements

- Use Standards (i.e. NIST, FIPS, RFCs, etc...)
 - Use list of algorithms in NIST-SP-800-131A revision 1 (published 2015)
 - Specify a set of cryptography algorithms to balance interoperability and design flexibility.
 - Potentially reference NIST.IR.8105.
 - Don't invent or use outside of intended design/purpose
- Extensibility
 - Specification must be able to accommodate GEO compliance and support for future algorithms.

Out of Scope for Specification

- How identity and keys are initially provisioned.
- How firmware measurements are performed.
- PMCI Host Interface access to devices
- Security Policies
 - Specification will specify some mechanisms for implementing security policies but will not define those policies.
- Root-of-Trust (RoT)
 - Specification allows for RoT but will neither define nor require a RoT.

Future Considerations (i.e. Post 1.0 release)

Authorization

 How does an endpoint determine the remote endpoint has sufficient privilege to perform a specific PMCI operation?

• Identity Lifecycle Management (e.g. Certificate)

- Do we define a new MCTP ID codes/operations?
- Or Leverage RDE?
- Do we do a new PLDM type?
- What part of the lifecycle needs to be addressed?

• Any PMCI standards and protocols not encompassed in release 1.0.